Southlake, TX 76092 817.749.1705 safety@verizon.net

Exhibit 1

June 22, 2022

Mr. Mauricio Guevara Associate Attorney The Buzbee Law Firm 600 Travis Street Suite 7300 Houston, TX 77002

Re: Jaasin Taylor vs. Ball Corporation

Dear Mr. Guevara:

Please accept the following report as it relates to Mr. Jaasin Taylor' slip and fall event which occurred on July 24, 2021. In preparing this report, I reviewed the following documents provided to me by your office:

Initial Pleadings which include: The Notice of Removal, (DOC 9) Scheduling Order,

<u>The Plaintiff Production</u> which includes: 03.07.22 P's Responses to Defendant's RFPs, 03.07.22 Plaintiff's Responses to Defendants Interrogatories, 7. Photos of Site (J. TAYLOR 000015-000020), Video of Site 1 (J. TAYLOR 000021), Video of Site 1 (J. TAYLOR 000021), Video of Site 2 (J. TAYLOR 000022), Photos of Mr. Taylor's Shoes Worn on Day of The Incident (J. TAYLOR 000025)

<u>Defendant's Production</u> which includes: 2022 0419 Ball's Interrogatories, 2022 0419 Ball's Request for Production, Defendant's Initial Disclosures, Defendant 000001 – 000021, Defendant 000022 – 000320, 2020.03.11 Internal Ball Corp Email regarding leaking machine, 2021.05.19 Email from Ball Corp to All Star Mechanic regarding Machine, 2021.06.16 All Star Mechanical Proposal for Machine Hydraulic Hose Alteration, 2021.09.21 Kaman Quote to Re-Pipe, 2021.10.05 Ball Corp Expenditure Request to Fix Leaking Machine (Inherent Design Flaw), 2021.10.05 Ball Corp Expenditure Request to Fix Leaking Machine, Ball Corp Diagram, Ball Corp Emails regarding Incident, Ball Corp Employee Handbook, Ball Corp Employees on Day of Incident, Ball Corp Previous Accident, Ball Corp Texts After Incident, Contract between Ball Corp and Manpower Group, Manufacture Info for Leaking Machine, New Contractor Docs, and the Witness Statement by Jaime Pratt.

Background:

It is my understanding that the Plaintiff, Mr. Jaasin Taylor, was employed by the Man Power Staffing Company who sent him to the Ball Corporation Beverage Packaging and Recycling Plant in Conroe Texas to handle materials. On or about Saturday July 24, 2021, Mr. Taylor arrived at the Ball Corporation and found that one of the company's baler-compactors was broken and spilling hot oil onto the concrete walkway. The baler continued to leak hot oil for several hours until the Ball Corporations employees serviced the machine Saturday evening.

On the following day, Sunday July 25, 2021, Mr. Taylor returned to the Ball Corporations facility and found that the same machine was spilling hot oil on the floor. Mr. Taylor continued his work for Man Power at the Ball Corporation facility, which consisted of handling, moving and stacking crushed aluminum bricks to a pallet using a hydraulic lift. As Mr. Taylor began to operate the machines lift, he slipped on hot oil that was spilled on the floor which caused him to fall striking his body on a piece of machinery on the way down. Plaintiff landed on his back and laid in the fetal position on the hot oil for several minutes.

Nationally Recognized Industry Standards:

The American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) F-1637-13 entitled "Standard Practice for Safe Walking Surfaces" (enclosed) describes the standard of care by which walkways and stairs are to be maintained. Listed below are the sections of the ASTM F-1637-13 standard, which I believe are relevant in this case.

Section 5.1.3 requires that; "Walkway surfaces shall be slip resistant under expected environmental conditions and use. Painted walkways shall contain an abrasive additive, cross cut grooving, texturing or other appropriate means to render the surface slip resistant where wet conditions may be reasonably foreseeable"

The American National Standards Institute (ANSI) has a published standard A1264.2-2012 entitled "American National Standard for the Provision of Slip Resistance on Walking/Working Surfaces" which was in effect at the time of Mr. Taylor slip-and-fall event and emphasizes the importance of protecting workers from the hazards presented by improper housekeeping, supervision, and warnings.

Section 8. Housekeeping

Sub-section 8.1 General. A housekeeping program shall be implemented to maintain safe walking-working surfaces.

E8.1 A written housekeeping program is recommended to ensure consistency and quality. The program should describe materials, equipment, scheduling, methods, and training of those conducting housekeeping.

Section 8.4 Supervision. The housekeeping conditions shall be monitored and a person(s) shall be authorized to promptly initiate corrective action(s).

Sub-section 8.4.1 Monitoring of areas shall include;

- a. Inspecting all walking surfaces:
- b. Arranging for prompt notification of persons responsible for cleanup of affected conditions:
- c. Placing signage, barriers or personnel until clean up is complete.

Section 9. Warnings

Sub-section 9.1 General. A warning shall be provided when a slip/fall hazard has been identified until appropriate corrections can be made and the area barricaded.

Section 10. Inherently Slippery Environments

In inherently slipperyenvironments, an effective combination of multiple controls shall be implemented.

Section 10.1 Barricades. Barricades shall be used to isolate processe in hazardous areas. They shall also be used to isolate slip hazards from pedestrian traffic.

Section 10.2 Containment. Where spills, leakage or other emissions are anticipated, provisions shall be made to contain the spillage away from the walkway.

Section 10.3 Authorized Entry. In certain inherently slippery areas, only employees who are properly trained and equipped shall be authorized to enter.

The Occupational Safety and Health Admisnistration (OSHA) Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 1910.22 "General Requirements" requires that:

- (a.)(1) All places of employment, passageways, storerooms, and service rooms shall be kept clean and orderly and in a sanitary condition.
- (a.)(2) The floor of every workroom shall be maintained in a clean and as so far as possible, a dry condition. When wet processes are used, drainage shall be maintained, and false floors, platforms, mats, or other dry standing places should be provided where practicable."

Opinions:

Slips and falls are a leading cause of workplace injury in the manufacturing and processing industries and although concrete walking and working surfaces like that Mr. Taylor was working on are appropriate for that type of location, when oil or other lubricants are spilled on the concrete it no longer provides a slip resistant surface as required by the above-named codes and standards. In order for walking and working surfaces to maintain their slip resistant quality they must be properly maintained and free of contaminants. This is particularly true in manufacturing and processing plants which are frequently exposed to slippery lubricants and other contaminants.

When a lubricating oil or hydraulic fluid is spilled on the walkway the surfaces coefficient of friction level will significantly decrease and in-turn increase the risk of a slip and fall event. It is the industry standard to immediately correct any known hazard once it has been identified and to provide appropriate safeguards and warnings. Having reviewed the case materials it appears that the Defendant failed to exercise good judgment and simply disregarded the safety of their workers and contractors who were exposed to the slip hazard.

It is my opinion that the Defendant failed to maintain, warn, and remedy the dangerous condition in question which was the direct cause of Mr. Taylor's slip and fall event and subsequent injuries. Furthermore, the Defendant knew or should have known of the above-named OSHA requirement and industry standards to which they were obligated to follow as to protect the health and safety of their employees from the unnecessary risk of a slip and fall event. It is my opinion that the

Defendant failed to exercise a reasonable degree of care as to reduce or eliminate the dangerous condition of the oil coated walkway and had they done so, it is more likely than not that Mr. Taylor would not have slipped and fallen and injured himself.

Listed below is an overview of my opinions as it relates to the Defendants failures:

- 1. The condition of the walkway at the time of Mr. Taylor's fall constituted a dangerous condition and posed an unreasonable risk of harm.
- 2. The Defendant knew or reasonably should have known of the dangerous condition of the walkway prior to Mr. Taylor's slip and fall and should have placed absorbent pads on the walkway and posted warning signs as to alert workers to the impending slip hazard.
- 3. The Defendant failed to exercise reasonable care to reduce or eliminate the dangerous condition of the walkway.
- 4. Prior to Mr. Taylor's fall, The Defendant was consciously aware of the dangerous condition and had time to either: (a.) remedy the condition by way of stopping the hot oil leak, (b.) remove the accumulation of oil from the walkway, and (c.) restrict access of the area by way of a barricade.
- 5. The dangerous condition that was present on the walkway was the proximate cause of Mr. Taylor's slip-and-fall event and subsequent injuries.

In conclusion it is my opinion that the Defendant did not provide a reasonable degree of care as it relates to properly maintaining their equipment and by safeguarding their walkways as to protect their employees specifically Mr. Taylor, from the risk of injury. Had the Defendant exercised a reasonable level of care of their walkways, it is more likely than not that Mr. Taylor would not have slipped and fallen and subsequently injured himself.

Sadly Mr. Taylor slip and fall event and subsequent injuries were entirely and easily preventable had the Defendant simply complied with the industry standards detailed above which establish the means by which companies like that of the Defendant should provide their employees and contracted employees a safe walking surface. My opinions are based upon a reasonable degree of professional certainty which are derived from my education, professional training, and experience which I reserve the right to amend my opinions as new information may be presented to me in the future.

Regards,

Russell J. Kendzior President

.encl



Designation: F1637 - 13

An American National Standard

Standard Practice for Safe Walking Surfaces¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F1637; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (a) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

- 1.1 This practice covers design and construction guidelines and minimum maintenance criteria for new and existing buildings and structures. This practice is intended to provide reasonably safe walking surfaces for pedestrians wearing ordinary footwear. These guidelines may not be adequate for those with certain mobility impairments.
- 1.2 Conformance with this practice will not alleviate all hazards; however, conformance will reduce certain pedestrian
- 1.3 The values stated in inch-pound units are to be regarded as standard. The values given in parentheses are mathematical conversions to SI units that are provided for information only and are not considered standard.
- 1.4 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:2

F1646 Terminology Relating to Safety and Traction for Footwear

3. Terminology

- 3.1 See Terminology F1646 for the following terms used in this practice:
 - 3.1.1 Bollard,
 - 3.1.2 Carpet,
 - 3.1.3 Cross slope,
 - 3.1.4 Element,
 - 3.1.5 Fair,
- ¹ This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee F13 on Pedestrian/ Walkway Safety and Pootwear and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee F13.50 on Walkway Surfaces.
- Current edition approved Aug. 1, 2013. Published August 2013. Originally approved in 1995. Last previous edition approved in 2010 as F1637 10. DOI: 10.1520/F1637-13.
- ² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org, For Annual Book of ASTM Standards volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

- 3.1.6 Footwear,
- 3.1.7 Foreseeable pedestrian path,
- 3.1.8 Planar,
- 3.1.9 Ramp,
- 3.1.10 Sidewalk,
- 3.1.11 Slip resistance,
- 3.1.12 Slip resistant,
- 3.1.13 Walkway.
- 3.1.14 Walkway surface hardware, and

4. Significance and Use

4.1 This practice addresses elements along and in walkways including floors and walkway surfaces, sidewalks, short flight stairs, gratings, wheel stops, and speed bumps. Swimming pools, bath tubs, showers, natural walks, and unimproved paths are beyond the scope of this practice.

5. Walkway Surfaces

- 5.1 General:
- 5.1.1 Walkways shall be stable, planar, flush, and even to the extent possible. Where walkways cannot be made flush and even, they shall conform to the requirements of 5.2 and 5.3.
- 5.1.2 Walkway surfaces for pedestrians shall be capable of safely sustaining intended loads.
- 5.1.3 Walkway surfaces shall be slip resistant under expected environmental conditions and use. Painted walkways shall contain an abrasive additive, cross cut grooving, texturing or other appropriate means to render the surface slip resistant where wet conditions may be reasonably foreseeable.
- 5.1.4 Interior walkways that are not slip resistant when wet shall be maintained dry during periods of pedestrian use.
 - 5.2 Walkway Changes in Level:
- 5.2.1 Adjoining walkway surfaces shall be made flush and fair, whenever possible and for new construction and existing facilities to the extent practicable.
- 5.2.2 Changes in levels up to ¼ in. (6 mm) may be vertical and without edge treatment. (See Fig. 1.)
- 5.2.3 Changes in levels between ¼ and ½ in. (6 and 12 mm) shall be beveled with a slope no greater than 1:2 (rise:run).
- 5.2.4 Changes in levels greater than ½ in. (12 mm) shall be transitioned by means of a ramp or stairway that complies with applicable building codes, regulations, standards, or ordinances, or all of these.

FIG. 1 Changes in Levels up to a Maximum of 1/4 in. (6 mm)

5.3 Carpet:

- 5.3.1 Carpet shall be maintained so as not to create pedestrian hazard. Carpet shall be firmly secured and seams tightly maintained. Carpet shall not have loose or frayed edges, unsecured seams, worn areas, holes, wrinkles or other hazards that may cause trip occurrence.
- 5.3.2 Carpet on floor surfaces shall be routinely inspected. Periodic restretching may become necessary. Periodic inspection is particularly important at step nosing edges.
- 5.3.3 Carpet and carpet trim (as measured when compressed) shall meet the transition requirements of 5.2.
- 5.3.4 Shag-type carpet shall not be used on stair treads. Carpeting should be firmly secured onto the tread and around the nosing.

5.4 Mats and Runners:

- 5.4.1 Mats, runners, or other means of ensuring that building entrances and interior walkways are kept dry shall be provided, as needed, during inclement weather. Replacement of mats or runners may be necessary when they become saturated.
- 5.4.2 Building entrances shall be provided with mats or runners, or other means to help remove foreign particles and other contaminants from the bottom of pedestrian footwear. Mats should be provided to minimize foreign particles, that may become dangerous to pedestrians particularly on hard smooth floors, from being tracked on floors.
- 5.4.3 Mats or runners should be provided at other wet or contaminated locations, particularly at known transitions from dry locations. Mats at building entrances also may be used to control the spread of precipitation onto floor surfaces, reducing the likelihood of the floors becoming slippery.
- 5.4.4 Mats shall be of sufficient design, area, and placement to control tracking of contaminants into buildings. Safe practice requires that mats be installed and maintained to avoid tracking water off the last mat onto floor surfaces.
- 5.4.5 Mats, runners, and area rugs shall be provided with safe transition from adjacent surfaces and shall be fixed in place or provided with slip resistant backing.
- 5.4.6 Mats, runners, and area rugs shall be maintained so as not to create pedestrian hazards. Mats, runners, and area rugs shall not have loose or frayed edges, worn areas, holes, wrinkles, or other hazards that may cause trip occurrences.

5.5 Illumination:

- 5.5.1 Minimum walkway illumination shall be governed by the requirements of local codes and ordinances or, in their absence, by the recommendations set forth by the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IES) (Application and Reference Volumes).
 - 5.5.2 Illumination shall be designed to be glare free.
- 5.5.3 Illumination shall be designed to avoid casting of obscuring shadows on walkways, including shadows on stairs that may be cast by users.

- 5.5.4 Interior and exterior pedestrian use areas, including parking lots, shall be properly illuminated during periods when pedestrians may be present.
- 5.6 Headroom—A minimum headroom clearance of 6 ft 8 in. (2.03 m), measured from the walkway surface, shall be provided above all parts of the walkway. Where such clearance is not provided in existing structures, the low clearance portions of the walkway shall be safely padded, marked with safety contrast color coding and posted with appropriate warning signs.

5.7 Exterior Walkways:

- 5.7.1 Exterior walkways shall be maintained so as to provide safe walking conditions.
 - 5.7.1.1 Exterior walkways shall be slip resistant.
- 5.7.1.2 Exterior walkway conditions that may be considered substandard and in need of repair include conditions in which the pavement is broken, depressed, raised, undermined, slippery, uneven, or cracked to the extent that pieces may be readily removed.
- 5.7.2 Exterior walkways shall be repaired or replaced where there is an abrupt variation in elevation between surfaces. Vertical displacements in exterior walkways shall be transitioned in accordance with 5.2.
 - 5.7.3 Edges of sidewalk joints shall be rounded.

6. Walking Surface Hardware

- 6.1 Walking surface hardware within foreseeable pedestrian paths shall be maintained flush with the surrounding surfaces; variances between levels shall be transitioned in accordance with 5.2.
- 6.2 Walking surface hardware within foreseeable pedestrian paths shall be maintained slip resistant.
- 6.3 Walking surface hardware shall be installed and maintained so as to be stable under reasonable foreseeable loading.

7. Stairs

- 71 General
- 7.1.1 Stairways with "distracting" forward or side views shall be avoided. A "distracting" view is one which can attract the stair user's attention, (for example, advertisements, store displays), thus distracting the stair user.
- 7.1.2 Step nosings shall be readily discernible, slip resistant, and adequately demarcated. Random, pictorial, floral, or geometric designs are examples of design elements that can camouflage a step nosing.
 - 7.1.3 Doors shall not open over stairs.
 - 7.1.4 Structure (reserved).
 - 7.2 Short Flight Stairs (Three or Fewer Risers):
 - 7.2.1 Short flight stairs shall be avoided where possible.
- 7.2.2 In situations where a short flight stair or single step transition exists or cannot be avoided, obvious visual cues shall be provided to facilitate improved step identification. Handrails, delineated nosing edges, tactile cues, warning signs, contrast in surface colors, and accent lighting are examples of some appropriate warning cues.



8. Speed Bumps

- 8.1 Design to avoid the use of speed bumps.
- 8.2 All speed bumps which are in foreseeable pedestrian paths shall comply with 5.2 (walkway changes in level).
- 8.3 Existing speed bumps, that do not conform to 5.2, shall be clearly marked with safety color coding to contrast with surroundings. Painted speed bumps shall be slip resistant. Pedestrian CAUTION signs are recommended.

9. Wheel Stops

- 9.1 Parking lots should be designed to avoid the use of wheel stops.
- 9.2 Wheel stops shall not be placed in pedestrian walkways or foreseeable pedestrian paths.
 - 9.3 Wheel stops shall be in contrast with their surroundings.
- 9.4 Wheel stops shall be no longer than 6 ft (1.83 m) and shall be placed in the center of parking stalls. The minimum width of pedestrian passage between wheel stops shall be 3 ft (0.91 m).
- 9.5 The top of wheel stops shall not exceed 6.5 in. (165 mm) in height above the parking lot surface.
- 9.6 Adequate illumination shall be maintained at wheel stops as governed by the requirements of local codes and ordinances or, in their absence, by the recommendations set forth by the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IES-Application and Reference Volumes).
- 9.7 Bollards, not less than 3 ft 6 in. (1.07 m) height, may be placed in the center of parking stalls as an alternative to wheel stops. Bollards should be appropriately marked to enhance visibility.

10. Gratings

- 10.1 Gratings used in public areas should be located outside of pedestrian walkways.
- 10.2 Gratings located in foreseeable pedestrian walkways shall not have openings wider than ½ in. (13 mm) in the direction of predominant travel.
- 10.2.1 Exemption—The requirements of 10.2 do not apply in areas where footwear worn is controlled (for example, industrial areas).
- 10.3 Gratings with elongated openings shall be placed with the long dimension perpendicular to the direction of predominant travel.
 - 10.4 Gratings shall be maintained slip resistant.

11. Warnings

- 11.1 The use of visual cues such as warnings, accent lighting, handrails, contrast painting, and other cues to improve the safety of walkway transitions are recognized as effective controls in some applications. However, such cues or warnings do not necessarily negate the need for safe design and construction.
- 11.2 When relying on applications of color as a warning, provide colors and patterns that provide conspicuous markings for the conditions being delineated, their surroundings, and the environment in which they will be viewed by users. Bright yellow is a commonly used color for alerting users of the presence of certain walkway conditions. When properly applied and maintained, other colors can also provide effective warnings.

12. Keywords

12.1 carpet; floors; gratings; mats; runners; sidewalks; short flight stairs; slip resistance; speed bump; stairs; walkway; wheel stop

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly edvised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM international Headquartars. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may eitherd. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9585 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the ASTM website (www.astm.org/COPYRIGHT/).

ANSI/ASSE A1264.2 - 2012



AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD

ANSI/ASSE A1264.2 – 2012 Provision of Slip Resistance on Walking/Working Surfaces

ANSVASSE A1264.2 - 2012



- 7.4 Installation. Mats shall be installed so that they do not create tripping hazards. Mats and runners that are not recessed shall have a beveled or graduated edge or other appropriate treatment to help reduce the possibility of tripping on the mat edge.
- **7.4.1 Layout.** Mats and runners shall be laid out to avoid overlap or gaps between them to provide a continuous walkway path.
- 7.5 Inspection and Maintenance. Mats and runners shall be routinely inspected and adequately maintained to identify and correct conditions such as buckling, edge curling and other defects. Damaged mats shall be promptly replaced.
- 7.6 Storage and Care. Procedures shall be established for the placement, maintenance, inspection and storage of mats in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. In the absence of such instructions, mats and runners shall be stored in a way that allows them to lie flat when in use.
- 7.7 Cleaning and Trade-Out. To maintain effective soil pick-up and track-control, and slip resistance, mats shall receive scheduled cleanings or trade-out of appropriate frequency based on the conditions to which they are subject.

8. HOUSEKEEPING

8.1 General. A housekeeping program shall be implemented to maintain safe walking-working surfaces.

- Larger mats tend to remain in place better than a smaller mat due to greater weight and larger dimensions.
- E7.4 Vertical edges of mats and runners should not be greater than 1/4 inch. Abrupt vertical edges greater than 1/4 inch up to 1/2 inch should be beveled at a slope no greater than 1:2. Abrupt vertical changes greater than 1/2 inch should not be permitted.
- E7.5 Routine inspections will quickly identify and help prioritize issues/problems related to adequate securement, contaminant saturation level, curling, buckling and rippling, displacement and other potentially hazardous conditions. Immediate remediation is recommended when a potentially hazardous condition is observed.
- E7.6 Storage procedures should be in conformance with manufacturers specifications. Also see Section 8: Housekeeping.

Note: In the context of Section 7.6, flat means there is no buckling, rippling or curling of the mat edges when it is laid out.

- E7.7 According to CRI's manual for school facilities, "Walk-off mats should be cleaned frequently. Once a walk-off mat becomes filled with soil, the soil will then transfer to the soles of shoes and spread throughout the facility."
- E8.1 A written housekeeping program is recommended to ensure consistency and quality. The program should describe materials, equipment, scheduling, methods and training of those conducting housekeeping.

8.2 Maintenance Procedures. Written procedures, if in place, shall specify cleaning and maintenance procedures including immediate response, routine operations, remedial measures and reporting requirements.

E8.2 Procedures should be reviewed regularly and updated as needed so that an effective program is maintained. Drains should be kept clear and free flowing. Certain spills involving hazardous chemicals may be subject to regulatory reporting.

Periodic slip resistance testing of floor surfaces can be of value in the following situations:

- If a treatment or coating has been applied to the floor surface which may deteriorate over time.
- To monitor for floor cleanliness.
- There is no available history of slips and falls at the facility.
- To evaluate prospective flooring materials prior to installation.
- 8.3 Training. Housekeeping staff, contractors and other persons with the responsibility of a given area shall be trained in:
- **E8.3** Under the Hazard Communication Act (HAZCOM) workers may be subject to the Hazard Communication Act (HAZCOM) if exposed to certain chemicals.

an eache que found research and any officiological and only on the eafterhalm of the highest on the high

a. Inspection, maintenance and cleaning requirements;

For more information about training, consult ANSI/ASSE Z490.1, Accepted Practices for Safety, Health and Environmental Training.

- b. Inspection, maintenance and cleaning procedures;
- c. Safe handling and disposal of chemicals and/or solutions:
- d. Safe operations of maintenance and cleaning equipment;
- e. Emergency conditions and operations;
- Recordkeeping and reporting relating to housekeeping and maintenance.
- **8.4 Supervision.** The housekeeping conditions shall be monitored and a person(s) shall be authorized to promptly initiate corrective action(s).
- E8.4 An effective program requires employees to identify and report potential hazards to appropriate supervision. Documentation of monitoring can assist in identifying hazards (e.g., areas where repeated spills occur), which will permit better planning and anticipation of such events.
- **8.4.1 Monitoring.** Monitoring of areas shall include:
- E8.4.1 See Section 9: Warnings.

- a. Inspecting all walking surfaces.
- Arranging for prompt notification of persons responsible for clean up of hazardous conditions.
- Placing signage, barriers or personnel until clean up is complete.
- 8.5 Use of Granular Absorbents. The use of granular absorbents where processes or the environment creates slip hazards shall be acceptable treatment in reducing slip hazards where other control methods are not feasible. The absorbent shall be swept up and replaced before the absorbent has been fully saturated.

9. WARNINGS/BARRICADES

- **9.1 General.** A warning shall be provided when a slip or trip hazard has been identified until appropriate corrections can be made or the area barricaded.
- 9.1.1 Alternate Route. When a slip/fall hazard covers an entire walkway, making it difficult to safely route personnel around the hazard, barricades shall be used to limit access (see Section 10.1). If appropriate, assign a person(s) to detour pedestrians in conjunction with the appropriate use of warning signs until the barricade can be erected or the hazard removed.
- **9.2 Signage.** The signage reference for warning signs used for slip/fall hazards shall be ANSI Z535.2, Standard for Environmental and Facilities Safety Signs.

E9.1 Slip/trip hazards should be eliminated by design and arrangement if possible. The next priority is to guard the hazard if possible, and the last priority is to warn of a hazard. The intent is to eliminate and/or reduce, as much as possible, the potential for injury.

E9.2 The ANSI Z535 standards provide for the design, application and use of signs and placards in creating a uniform visual alert system. They are available from the NEMA (The Association of Electrical Equipment and Medical Imaging Manufacturers), 1300 North 17th Street, Arlington, Virginia or at the website: http://www.nema.org/.

- **9.3 Symbols.** Warning signs using symbols shall use ANSI Z535.3, *Criteria for Safety Symbols*.
- 9.4 Placement. Warning signs shall be placed at approaches to, or around, areas where slip/fall hazards are forseeable. These devices shall surround or be placed around the perimeter of the hazardous area to clearly demarcate the location of the potential hazard.
- **9.4.1 Unmitigated Hazards.** In cases where hazards cannot be mitigated, warning signs and barricades shall be used to reroute traffic.
- may be required.

 Barricades and warning signs should be used in hazardous areas. See Section 10: Inherently Slippery Environments for details on the use of barricades.

E9.4.1 Warning signs may be ineffective

for the control of slip and fall hazards in

areas where routing pedestrian traffic around the hazard is difficult to accomplish.

such as where the danger lies on a shorter

path than the safe route. In such instances, barricading the area in conjunction with appropriate warning signs to reroute employee traffic to an entirely different route

- **9.4.2** Removal of Hazard. When the hazard has been eliminated or controlled, the sign and/or barricade shall be promptly removed.
- **E9.4.2** Leaving signage/barricades after hazardous conditions have been remediated can lead to complacency.

10. INHERENTLY SLIPPERY ENVI-RONMENTS

In inherently slippery environments, an effective combination of multiple controls shall be implemented.

- E10 Examples of inherently slippery environments include chemical processes, food processing and rendering and other operations likely to result in liquid contamination of walking/working surfaces. Training of persons to safely use such areas is highly recommended. The use of the procedures outlined in Section 8 are recommended as minimum training guidelines.
- **10.1 Barricades.** Barricades shall be used to isolate processes in hazardous areas. They shall also be used to isolate slip hazards from pedestrian traffic.

- **10.2 Containment.** Where spills, leakage or other emissions are anticipated, provisions shall be made to contain the spillage away from the walkway.
- 10.3 Authorized Entry. In certain inherently slippery areas, only employees who are properly trained and equipped shall be authorized to enter.
- 10.4 Signage Considerations. Signage for inherently slippery environments shall be in place in accordance with Sections 9.2, 9.3 and 9.4 of this standard.
- 11. SELECTION AND/OR TREAT-MENT

- 11.1 Safely Maintained. Walking surfaces for use in accordance with 2.3 shall be safely maintained.
- 11.2 Practical Considerations. Where it is not practical to replace flooring, etching, scoring, grooving, brushing, appliqués, coatings and other such techniques shall be used to achieve acceptable slip resistance under foreseeable conditions.

- **E10.2** Examples of containment include proper drainage, scupper curbing, dikes, drip pans and operational enclosures. Some barricades may be methods of containment.
- **E10.3** Processes may have to be shut down for special cleanup operations. Equipment may include full personal protective equipment, lifelines, harnesses and/or special footwear. See Appendix A for slip-resistant footwear considerations.
- E11 Reference **ASTM** F802. to Standard Guide for Selection of Certain Walkway Surfaces When Considering Footwear Traction. Consideration should be given towards replacement of the flooring with a different material having more pronounced surface asperities. Textured surface coatings are a viable selection alternative. The material selection should be determined based upon testing of the surface with an appropriate slip testing device.
- E11.2 Surfacing applications treatments are available that can impart increased slip resistance to problem surfaces. Some flooring surfaces can be enhanced by etching. Certain paint-on or trowel-on applications can enhance slip resistance. It is important to select one that will adhere tenaciously to the substrate. Cleanability and durability should be considered. Patch testing of prospective materials in the problem environment is recommended before proceeding with broad application. Carpeting is also an option worthy of consideration for control of slips.

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

O osha.gov/laws-regs/regulations/standardnumber/1910/1910.22

- 1910 Subpart D
- Subpart Title:

Walking-Working Surfaces

• Standard Number:

1910.22

• Title:

General requirements.

1910.22(a)

Surface conditions. The employer must ensure:

1910.22(a)(1)

All places of employment, passageways, storerooms, service rooms, and walking-working surfaces are kept in a clean, orderly, and sanitary condition.

1910.22(a)(2)

The floor of each workroom is maintained in a clean and, to the extent feasible, in a dry condition. When wet processes are used, drainage must be maintained and, to the extent feasible, dry standing places, such as false floors, platforms, and mats must be provided.

1910.22(a)(3)

Walking-working surfaces are maintained free of hazards such as sharp or protruding objects, loose boards, corrosion, leaks, spills, snow, and ice.

1910.22(b)

Loads. The employer must ensure that each walking-working surface can support the maximum intended load for that surface.

1910.22(c)

Access and egress. The employer must provide, and ensure each employee uses, a safe means of access and egress to and from walking-working surfaces.

1910.22(d)

Inspection, maintenance, and repair. The employer must ensure:

1910.22(d)(1)

Walking-working surfaces are inspected, regularly and as necessary, and maintained in a safe condition;

1910.22(d)(2)

Hazardous conditions on walking-working surfaces are corrected or repaired before an employee uses the walking-working surface again. If the correction or repair cannot be made immediately, the hazard must be guarded to prevent employees from using the walking-working surface until the hazard is corrected or repaired; and

1910.22(d)(3)

When any correction or repair involves the structural integrity of the walking-working surface, a qualified person performs or supervises the correction or repair.



Russell J. Kendzior

Experience:

2014 - Present - President, Traction Experts, Inc.

Internationally recognized safety expert specializing in slip, trip and fall prevention. Industry consultant with experience in developing corporate safety policies, employee safety training programs and procedures. Retained as an expert witness in more than 800 cases representing both Plaintiffs and Defendants. Qualified as an expert in state, county, and federal courts across the country.

1997-Present - Founder & Chairman of the Board of the National Floor Safety Institute (NFSI)

Founder of the NFSI in 1997 as a 501(c)-3 not-for-profit organization whose mission is to mission is to "aid in the prevention of slip's, trip's-and-fall's through education, research, and standards development". The NFSI is led by a fifteen-member board of directors representing a wide-range of product manufacturers, insurance companies, and independent researchers. Frequent lecturer and consultant, to major corporations, trade associations, universities, and governmental and regulatory agencies.

1990-2014 - President, Traction Plus, Inc.

Internationally recognized as the leader in slip, trip and fall prevention. Developer of a complete line of slip-and-fall prevention products marketed under the Traction Plus® and SlipShield® brands. Released the first-of-its-kind line of floor safety products integrating state of the art technology. Developed Traction Plus TRED SAFE® footwear in partnership with Wal-Mart Stores U.S.A. and Canada.

1986-1990 Contract Sales Manager - The Adleta Company, Carrollton, TX

Responsibilities included: the management of a multimillion-dollar territory for Armstrong World Industries (North Texas region), the development of retail and commercial markets, training endusers, contractors, and architectural/design professionals as to the proper specification, installation and maintenance procedures for resilient floor coverings.

Education:

BS Mathematics, Bradley University, 1985

Professional Training:

U.S. Department of Labor Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)

Texas Accessibility Academy, Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation Compliance Division Registered Accessibility Specialist (RAS)

American National Standards Institute (ANSI) Walkway Auditor Certificate Holder (WACH)

Professional Licenses:

Registered Accessibility Specialist (RAS #1235) Texas Department of Regulation and Licensing (TDLR)

Professional Certifications:

National Floor Safety Institute NFSI/ANSI Walkway Auditor Certificate Holder (WACH #3)

Professional Associations:

American National Standards Institute (ANSI) Committee Secretary - NFSI B101 "Safety Requirements for Slip, Trip, and Fall Prevention"

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) - Voting Member on seven sub-committees including: C-21 Ceramic Tile, D-13 Textiles, D-21 Polishes, E-58 Forensic Engineering, F-06 Resilient Floor Coverings, F-13 Walkway Safety and Footwear, C-14 Glass and Glass Products, and F-15 Consumer Products.

National Safety Council (NSC) – Member of the Board of Delegates

American Society of Safety Professionals (ASSP), Professional Member

The Society of Protective Coverings (SSP C.7.5 Texture of Concrete Coatings)

The Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE)

Accessibility Professionals Association (APA)

National Fire Protection Association (NFPA)

Government and Regulatory Agency:

U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA). Recognized by OSHA as an expert on walking and working surfaces and provided expert testimony before an OSHA hearing on the subject in 2011. Cited authority in the 2016 revised U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Sub-Part D. Section 1910 (OSHA) Rule for Walking and Working Surfaces.

U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC). Have testified before the CPSC on advancing elder fall prevention/pedestrian walkway safety.


Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Have worked with CDC on measures to advance slip, trip and fall prevention.

Publications:

Books:







Russell J. Kendzior is the author of three books on the subject of slip, trip-and-fall accident prevention including: "Slip and Fall Prevention Made Easy" (© 1999) and "Falls Aren't Funny" published in 2010 and named the Number 1. Best Seller in Personal Injury Law by Amazon.com and Floored! (2017)



Building Service Contractors Association International (BSCAI) Safety Manual - Contributing Author

National Publications:

Occupational Health & Safety

October 2002: "When "Wet Floor" Signs Aren't Enough"

February 2010: "ANSI's New B101.1 Floor Safety Standard and How it Will Impact You"

March 1, 2010: "Measuring Up to a Higher Standard"

Professional Retail Store Maintenance Magazine (PRSM)

May 2000: "Caution: Slip Resistant Floor Ahead"

June 2000: "Defending Yourself in a Slip-and-Fall Lawsuit" September 2000: "Facing OSHA and The ADA Without Fear"

December 2000/January 2001: "Preventing Slip-and-Fall Accidents"

February 2001: "Slips, Falls and The Facilities Manager"

April 2001: "Is Your Store Headed for a Slip-and-Fall Accident?"

July-Sept 2001: "Slip-and-Fall Issues (Column)

April 2003: "Will Washington Outlaw Slippery Floors"

Correctional Foodservice Magazine

July 1999: "Preventing Slips, Trips and Falls"

Commercial Risk Magazine

Autumn 1999: "Countering the Major Risk of Slips-and-Falls"

Onboard Services

July/August 1999: "Preventing Slip and Fall Accidents"

Sanitary Maintenance

January 2000: "Elevating Floor Care to Floor Safety"

Chain Store Age

April 2000: Increased Focus on Slip/Fall

Services Magazine

March 2000: "Factors Contributing to Slips and Falls"

ISSA Today

February 2000: "Will Washington Outlaw Slippery Floors"

July 2000: "Confronting the Age-Old Problem"

February 2010: "ANSI's New B101.1 Standard. Elevating Floor Care to Floor Safety"

April 2017: "The Standard of Care in Floor Care"

Commercial Risk

Autumn 1999: "Countering The Major Risk of Slip and Fall"

Commercial Floor Care

December 2000: "Elevating Floor Care to Floor Safety"



Cleaning & Maintenance Management

April 2004: Cover Story "Slip and Fall, Who's Got Your Back?"

New York Times

January 18, 2004: "Marble Baths: Watch your Step"

Concrete News

August 2004: "The Hard Truth About Concrete Safety"

October 2010: "Is Concrete Slippery?"

Summer 2015: "CAUTION Is Polished Concrete Slippery?"

Concrete Construction

December 3, 2010: "Giving Slips the Slip"



Executive Housekeeping Today (EHT)

Cover Story July 2012 "Elevating Floor Care to Floor Safety"

Housekeeping Solutions Magazine

March/April 2013 "Standardizing Floor Mats"

Huffington Post

June 21, 2003 Floored! Safety Tips from Fall's Aren't Funny Author Russ Kendzior

The Expert Institute (theexpertinstitute.com)

July 7, 2014 Trips, Slips and Falls – New National Standards Certain to be a Game Changer



Contributing Editor (2014-2016), Attorney at Law Magazine (Dallas, Texas Edition)



L&M Concrete News

August 2015 - How do you Know if Polished Concrete is Slippery?

Professional Seminars and Public Speaking Engagements:

College, University Presentations:

Georgia Tech OSHA Outreach Murray State University Southeast Oklahoma State University (SOSU) Emory University University of Tennessee (Knoxville) University of Central Florida

Professional and Trade Associations:

American Society of Safety Professionals (ASSP)

American Association of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) International Expo and Congress 2014 International Sanitary Supply Association (ISSA)

American Association of Fraud Examiners (ACFE)

National Safety Council (NSC)

Virginia Association of Counties

International Textile Rental Association (ITRA)

Cleaning Management Institute (CMI)

Simon Institute

International Executive Housekeepers Association (IEHA)

Food Marketing Institute (FMI) 2010 Asset Protection Conference for Risk Management, Loss

Prevention and Safety Professionals

Institute of Inspection, Cleaning and Restoration (IICRC)

National Restaurant Association (NRA)

Hospitality Lawyer

Houston Trial Lawyers Association (HTLA)

International Facilities Maintenance Association (IFMA)

International Window Cleaning Association (IWCA)

Voluntary Protection Programs Participants Association (VPPPA)

Strafford Publications Spoliation of Evidence Webinar

CSC Network

International Ergonomics Association 19th Triennial Congress Melbourne Australia

Restaurant Loss Prevention & Security Association (RLPSA)

Construction Specifications Institute (CSI)

National Safety Council (NSC)

Slip Resistance Group Spain (SRGS) Madrid, Spain

Accessibility Professionals Association (APA)

Corporate Training:

AIG Insurance

Allied Insurance

CNA Insurance

Willis Insurance

State Farm Insurance

Midwest Employers Casualty Company (MWECC)

McDonald's Corporation

U.S. Department of Defense (DOD)

Home Depot

Yum! Brands

Dal-Tile Corporation

Tennant Corporation

Mountville Mills, Inc.

Proctor & Gamble Corporation

Ecolab Corporation

Rubbermaid Corporation

Cintas Corporation

Armstrong World Industries

Professional Business Partners (PBP)

USI Annual Risk Management Safety Day 2014

Smithsonian Institute

Laticrete Corporation

J&J Carpet Company

Wakefern Corporation (Shop Rite Supermarkets)

New Pig Corp.

J.J. Keller Corporation

Media Interviews:

Inside Edition
Public Television (PBS) "Spotlight On" Program
ABC News "Good Morning America" and "What Would You Do"





The Slip-and-Fall King Wants to Save You From Your Next Wipeout Bloomberg News Business Week October 29, 2021

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 22 of 53

LAW FIRM	<u>ADDRESS</u>	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Todd Clement	17400 Dallas Pkwy. Dallas, TX	Porter vs. La Quinta Inns.		Х	Х	
Mark Markey	901 Indiana #400 Witchita Falls, TX	Dean vs. Albertsons		X	Х	
Ed Lavin	8918 Tesoro Dr. #418 San Antonio, TX	Perkins vs. State of Texas WC Kaye vs. State of Texas WC	X X		X X	
Ball & Weed	745 E. Mulberry San Antonio, TX	Peeler vs. Stop-N-Go Markets	Х		X	
Bernard Guerrini	6500 Greenville Ave. #320 Dallas, TX	Ewing vs. HCA Columbia Hospital		X	X	
Cain & Chapman	124 S. Crockett Sherman, TX	Hearn vs. N. Park Plaza Hotels	Х			
Fergus & Fergus	4400 Buffalo Gap Rd. #2400 Abeline, TX	Ochoa vs. Whitewood Bowling Lanes		Χ	X	
Lester Vance	1303 N. Rayburn Freeway Sherman, TX	Vietta vs. K-Mart Corp.		X		
Phil Watkins P.C.	700 N. St. Marys St. San Antonio, TX	Vecky vs. City of San Antonio		X		
Franklin Hytken P.C.	16950 Dallas Pkwy. #1000 Dallas, TX	Shanley vs. HB Restraurants		X		
Brady, Lerma Chartered	P.O. Box 1398 Boise, ID	Arritt vs. Smiths Food & Drug Bowman vs. Super 8	X X		х	
Michael Maloney & Assoc.	909 Fannin St. #3700 Houston, TX	Thrower vs. Admiral Linen		Χ	X	
Michael Lee & Assoc.	615 N. Upper Broadway Corpus Christi, TX	Bernsen vs. Whataburger, Inc.		X		
Rivkind & Pedraza, P.A.	66 W. Flagler St. Miami, FL	Mc Clain vs. Dolphin Cruise Lines, Inc.		Χ		
Scott Arnold	310 Main St. #200 Houston, TX	Krieger vs. Garden Ridge Invest.		X		
Kelly, Hart & Hallman	201 Main St. #2500 Ft. Worth, TX	Massengale vs. GTE	Х		X	
Norberto Flores	P.O. Box 5384 Austin, TX 78763	Rojas vs. Mac Frugal's Inc.		X		
Phillips, Hopkins, Earnes, Cobb NOTE: This case was aquired by Attorney	P.O. Box 2027 Denton, TX Sam Boyd listed below	Lynda Wood vs. K-Mart Corp.		X	X	
Sam Boyd	6440 N. Central Expr. Dallas, TX	Lynda Wood vs. K-Mart Corp		X		
Rushton, Stakely, Johnston	184 Commerce St. Montgomery, AL	Muhammed vs. CVS Pharmacy Hassett vs. Sunbelt Golf Course	X X		X X	
Roberts & Burnett	P.O. Box 1521 Abilene, TX	Mc Lean vs. Baack's, Inc. Ferrell vs. United Supermarkets Stamms vs. Wal-Mart Stores East Dobbs vs. Wal Mart		X X X	X X X	
Robles & Associates	2102 South IH-35 Austin, TX	Austin vs. Motorola, Inc.		Х		
Whittenburg, Whittenburg	600 Pearl St. Dallas, TX	Jokel vs. Promus Hotel Corp.		Х	Х	
Sorrell, Anderson, Lehrman	711 Carancahua Corpus Christi, TX	Corson vs. Staples, Inc		Х		
Jim L. Culpepper & Assoc.	9821 Katy Fwy. Houston, TX	McInvale vs. Owen Rental & Svc.		Х		
Landrith & Kulesz	P.O. Box 742 Arlington, TX	Gifford vs. Forum Arlington Prop.	Х			

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 23 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Landrith & Kulesz	P.O. Box 742 Arlington, TX	Gifford vs. Forum Arlington Prop. McGee vs. Hare's Nursery	Х	х		
Todd Smith	2725 Central Dr. Bedford, TX	Moody vs. Kroger Corp. Cheatham vs. Lowes Corp. Dennis vs. Race Trac Petroleum Langford vs. Moslah Howland vs. Half Price Books Clark vs. Trans-Trade, Inc. Manuel vs. Wichita Hotel Partners Johnson vs. Schlumberger		x x x x x x	X X X X X	
Harrison & Hull	307 W. Washington Sherman, TX	Tinsley vs. Pasco Oil Co. Mc Vicker vs. Jose's Foods Inc. Carmon vs. McCoy's Corp.	X X X		Х	
Heldt & Mc Keone	710 N. Grant St. Lexington, NB	Vermaas vs. Bob's Kwik Shop		X	Х	
Wernstein, Smith & Wilson	106 E. 6th St. Austin, TX	Rodriguez vs. Cinemark USA	Х		Х	
Reeves, Chavez, Walker,	300 S. Water St. Las Cruces, NM	Portillo vs. W. New Mexico Univ. Garcia vs. Albertsons Lindsey vs. Albertsons	X X X		Х	
Wise, Carter, Child, Caraway	401 E. Capitol St. Jackson, MS	Wilt vs. Fitzgerald's Casino Lee vs. Hotel O'Krepki	X X		Х	Х
Bock & Finkleman	Two Logan Square Philadelphia, PA	Carroll vs. Cedarbrook C.C.		X		
Bruegger, Quillin & Mc Callough	5477 Glen Lakes Dr. Dallas, TX	Perce vs. Toyota of Dallas		X	Х	
Watt, Beckworth & Carrigan	1010 Lamar St. Houston, TX	Stephens vs. Great Plains	Х			
Bonneau & Wilk	12005 Ford Rd. Dallas, TX	Bollig vs. Sidsam, Inc.		X		
Gordon & Weinberg	21 S. 21st St. Philadelphia, PA	Dockins vs. Sears and Roebuck		X	Х	
Strong, Pipkin, Bissell	595 Orleans Beaumont, TX	Cox vs. Chevron USA	X			
Murphy, Moore & Griffith, P.C.	2200 Forrest Park Blvd. Fort Worth, TX	Young vs. Winn-Dixie of Texas		X	Х	
Kelly, Jeremiah & Feder	3400 Bissonnet Houston, TX	Henry vs. Centralized Financial		X	Х	
Pressman & Wenof	2401 Pennsylvania Ave. Philadelphia, PA	Rita DiTonno		X	Х	
M. Brad Dixon	100 S.E. 6th Ave. Mineral Wells, TX	Tucker vs. Motel 6		X	Х	
S. Gary Polozola	111 N. Houston St. Ft. Worth, TX	Gibbins vs. Bruce Floors		X		
Buonadonna, Benson & Parenti	1138 E. Chestnut Ave. Vineland, NJ	Higgins vs. Dal-Tile Corp.	X			
Charles S. Dunn	P.O. Box 311 Lubbock, TX	Puga vs. Chavez		X		
Klass, Stoik, Mugan	627 4th St. Sioux City, IA	Hart vs. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	X			
Manuel Guerra, III	5200 N. McColl Rd. McAllen, TX	Josenhans vs. Bennigans Rest.		Χ		
Hill Parker & Roberson	5300 Memorial Houston, TX	Hinojosa vs. Mc Donlad's Corp.		Х	X	

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 24 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Schaffer, Schaffer & Kernal	231 S. Peters Norman, OK	West vs. Sooner West Plaza		X	X	X
Teague, Campbell, Dennis	1621 Midtown Pl. Raleigh, NC	Gamble vs. Winn Dixie	Х		X	
Barclay Law Firm	440 Louisiana St. Houston, TX	Rodgers vs. DNJ Ent./Cintas Corp.		X	X	
Mills Shirley L.L.P.	600 Travis St. #3950 Houston, TX	Sutton vs. Southwest Antiques Tippin vs. Baytown Golden Corral Ramsey vs. Tardiff Alvarado vs. Sunflower GP LLC	X X X		X X	
Hourigan, Kluger & Quinn	434 Lackawanna Ave. #200 Scranton, PA	Thomas vs. Zeta Psi Fraternity Rysz vs. Monroe County Waste		X X		
McGilberry & Shirer, L.L.P.	5720 LBJ Freeway Dallas, TX	Russell Adams vs. Sysco Sarah Baker vs. Kaufman Family Rest. Lori Stewart vs. Young Chevrolet Deckard vs. Sadiq Ali dba S.A. Food Mart Deinhart vs. Willowbend Mall Corbitt vs. Gaylord Entertainment Baker vs. Rowlett Family Dentistry		x x x x x x	X X X X	
Chris Kuhner	1200 Summit Ave. Ft. Worth, TX	Hernandez vs. Jack in the Box		Х	Х	
Sharon A. Hayes	P.O. Box 4484 Morgantown, WV	Wise vs. CVS Pharmacy		X		
David E. Cazares (Timm Davis)	1632 N. 10th St. McAllen, TX	Martinez vs. Pizza Hut		X	X	
Campbell, DeLong, Hagwood	923 Washington Ave. Greenville, MS	Watkins vs. Shoney's Restaurants	Х			
Richardson Law Office	118 S. Crocket Sherman, TX	Jones vs. Girls Inc.		X	Х	
Loncar & Assc.	10440 N. Central Exp.Dallas, TX	Lucksted vs. Equity Res. Prop. Alexander vs. C.C. Apts. Saez vs. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. Materka vs. Uptown Players, Inc. Bowers vs. Summit Hillcrest Apts. Amy Hill vs. Marsden South		X X X X	X X	Х
J. Houston Gordon	114 W. Liberty Ave. #300 Covington TN	Mills vs. N & M Invest. Weller vs. O'Charley's Reynolds vs. Chriswell Mickalowski vs. Office Depot		X X X	X X X	Х
Lubell & Rosen	18250 NW 2nd Ave. Miami FL	Walters vs. Opium Music, Inc.		Х		
Erick S. Block	203 Washington St. Jacksonville FL	O'Reilly vs. Spencer's Gifts Allen vs. Winn Dixie Stores, Inc.		X X	х	
Tracy Considine, P.A.	1 Sleiman Pkwy. Jacksonville, FL	King vs. Sears Roebuck White vs. SMG Holdings		X X	X X	X X
Friedenthal, Cox & Herskovitz	55 S. Lake Ave. #220 Pasadena, CA	Parker vs. Fabrizio Phys. Therapy	Χ			
Billy D. Hullum	P.O. Box 330939 Ft. Worth, TX	White vs. Bob Nuttal		Х	X	
Anderson, Smyer & Riddle	1300 S. University Dr. Ft. Worth, TX	Zuniga vs. Baylor Med. Ctr.		Х		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 25 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
James E. Diaz	102 Versailles Blvd. Lafayette, LA	Olivaresvs. Mahlin Meaux		×	X	
Crenshaw Dupree & Milam	1500 Broadway Lubbock TX	Anathakrishanan vs. Covenant Health Sys.	X			
Roberson, Taylor, Young	5700 Florida Blvd. Baton Rouge, LA	Payne vs. Albertsons		X	Х	
Thomas, Thomas & Hafer	305 N. Front St. Harrisburg, PA	Shultz vs. Leisure Lanes	Х		V	
Louis M. Lippman	5000 Travis Houston, TX	Satterwhite vs. Jiffy Lube		X	X	
Bodie Nagle	21 W. Susquehanna Towson, MD	Kligys vs. Union Memorial Hospital Haag vs. Baltimore County Kazemzadh vs. Townson University		X X X		
Hasten & Hansen	3825 Green Oaks Blvd. Arlington, TX	Caddel vs. Meador Chrysler Plymouth		X		
Feldman & Pinto	1604 Locust St. Philadelphia, PA	Judith O'Leary Farina vs. Bucks County Hotel		X X		
Galvez Law Firm	1200 Briarcrest Bryan, TX	Eva Webb Estate		X		
Oliver V. Baker	10856 Vandale San Antonio, TX	Villarreal vs. Texas Aaron Rents		X	Х	
James N. Francis	5959 Sherry Ln. Dallas, TX	Koons vs. Tower Club		X	Х	
John M. Molloy & Assoc.	20 S. Clark St. Chicago, IL	Costa vs. Healey Const, USD,G&M,ECC Adams vs. Trattoria Luigi's DeLaVega vs. TSD, Inc.		X X X	Х	
Miller and Curtis	10000 N. Central Exp. Dallas, TX	Lumbert vs. WHKOD Rose vs. TPMC Partners Woodrome vs. Wal-Mart, Inc.		X X X	X X X	
J.L. Culpepper	9821 Katy Freeway. Houston, TX	Mikeska vs. Fiesta Mart, Inc.		X	Х	
Thomas, Thomas & Hafer	305 N. Front St. Harrisburg, PA	Shultz vs. Leisure Lanes	Х		X	
Holcomb Dunbar	728 Goodman Rd E. Southaven, MS	Grodi vs. Mandalay Resort	X		Х	
J. Houston Gordon	2121 Commerce Sq. Memphis, TN	Stewart vs. Albertsons Mills vs. Aaron Rents		X X	х	
Leahy & Hoste	321 N. Clark St. Chicago, IL	Ott vs. Hobby Lobby Catalano vs. Bob Evans Farms		Х		
Norman R. Gordon	5105 Hearne Ave. Shreveport, LA	Bridges vs. Hartford Insurance		X		
Robin Katz & Assoc.	823 Kimblewood Ln. Highland Park, IL	Haken vs. Deerpath Inn.		X	Х	
Marty D. Price	2514 Boll St. Dallas, TX 75204	Ibarra vs. Country Hearth Inn.		×	X	
Randall P. Crane	201 Sam Houston San Benito, TX	Rosalyn Robinson				
Langdale vs. Vallotton	1007 N. Patterson St. Valdosta, GA	Newsome vs. Jungle Jym's		Х		
Hoey & Farina	542 S. Dearborn #200 Chicago, IL	Kimble vs. Union Pacific Railroad		Х		
Ratliff Edwards & DeHoyos	125 S. Irving St. San Angelo, TX	Hannon vs. CiCi's Pizza		Χ		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 26 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	<u>PLAINTIFF</u>	DEPO.	TRIAL
Bill Yarbough	326 Somerset Circle Bedford, TX	Barron vs. Lowe's Home Ctr.		Х	Х	
Stephen L. Schaefer	410 Main St. Bandara, TX	Terry vs. Sousa Flemming vs. Wal-Mart Anderson vs. Lang Ent.		X X X	X X	
Roberson, Taylor, Young	5700 Florida Blvd. #710 Baton Rouge, LA	Payne vs. Albertsons		X	Х	
Windle Turley, P.C.	6440 N. Central Exp. Dallas, TX	Wampler vs. Lawrence Bros. Jones vs. Mimi Café Johnson vs. El Fenix		X X X		
Montes Law Firm	726 N. Fielder Rd. Arlington, TX	Yzaguirre vs. Mansouni, Inc.		X	Х	
Michael J. Samanie	7836 Park Ave. Houma, LA	Aucoin vs. Old Republic Ins.		X		
Chamblee & Ryan	2777 N. Stemmons Fwy. Dallas, TX	Beshear vs. Pappadeaux Seafood Kitchen	Х		Х	
Duke Walker	521 N. Travis Sherman, TX	Karen Stogsdill		X	Х	
Hess & McMurtrie	200 Russell St. Huntsville, AL	Dardic vs. Suburban Lodge		X		
Andereck, Evans, Milne,	119 E. Main St. Smithville, MO	Addams vs. HY VEE Jones vs. HY VEE Stegman vs. HY VEE Minor vs. HY-VEE Summers vs. Casey's Marketing Royle vs. HY-VEE Billington vs. HY-VEE Ellis vs. HY-VEE Moon vs. HY-VEE Tomlinson vs. HY-VEE Jacobs vs. HY-VEE Remington vs. HY-VEE Frizzell vs. HY-VEE Francis vs. HY-VEE Potter vs. HY-VEE Harris vs. HY-VEE	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x		x x x x x x x x x	x x x x x
Clifford & Brown	1430 Truxtun Ave. Bakersfield, CA	Herod vs. Country Mkt. Rest.	Х			
Dollar Laird L.L.P.	3139 Mercedes Dr. Monroe, LA	Chunn vs. Brookshire Grocery		Х		
Juan C. Hernandez	4849 Greenville Ave. Dallas, TX	Guerra vs. Tech. Chem. Co.		X		
Klass Law Firm, L.L.P.	4280 Sergeant Rd. Sioux City, IA	Semmons vs. Glassford's Ent.	Х			
Sanders, O'Hanlon & Motley	111 S. Travis St. Sherman, TX	Sanders vs. Stonebriar		х	Х	
Ayers & Ayers	4205 Gateway Dr. Colleyville, TX	Partida vs. SMI G.P.	Х			
Samuel Grader	2180 Harvard St. Sacramento, CA	Fisher vs. Universal Bldg. Svcs.	Х			
Jefferson D. Jelly	924 Famington Ave. Hartford, CT	Isley vs. Price Chopper		Х		
Rider Law Firm	5300 Memorial Dr. Houston, TX	Christian vs. Flow Properties		Х	Х	
Reeves Law Firm	1100 S. Main St. Las Cruces, NM	Dominguez vs. NMSU	Χ			

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 27 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Turnipseed & Boan	128 Magnolia St. Spartanburg, SC	Owens vs. Wal-Mart		X		
James Hippard, Jr.	1100 Leeland Suite 250 Houston, TX	Jordan vs. C.E. Armstrong/Montrose Mining		X	Х	Х
Blankingship Keith NOTE: This case has been aquired	4020 University Dr. Fairfax, VA d by Hall, Sickels, Frei, Kattenburg, Mims	Erard vs. American Tap Room		Х	Х	
Gregg Schellhammer	1008 W. Pioneer Pkwy. Arlington, TX	Garcia vs. DFW Airport		Х	Χ	
John M. Brown	2321 Central Ave. Augusta, GA	Moore vs. Newton Gen. Hospital		X		
Swanson, Martin & Bell	330 N. Wabash Chicago, IL	Hammarberg vs. Kohl's	X			
McCrain, Sistrunk	3445 N. Causeway Blvd. Metarie, LA	Hutchinson vs. LaQuinta	Х			
Carpenter, Stanley & Meyers	1516 S. Boston Ave. Tulsa, OK	Ebert vs. TC Meridian Tower LP		Х	Х	
Vinson & Elkins	2001 Ross Ave. Dallas, TX	Patterson vs. Stonegate Senior Care	Х			
Vincent N. Melchiorre	1518 Walnut St. Philadelphia, PA	Glassman vs. Starwood Hotels		X		
Glenn Law Firm	216 W. Wall St. Grapevine, TX	Unruh vs. Stacy Furniture Brinkley vs. SVC Mfg, Quaker Oats Farr vs. EOG Resources, Inc. Shrader vs. Specified Properties Deloris Carroll Sabel vs. Joshua Burrell		X X X X	X X	
Phillip A. Gibson LLC	200 Russell St. Huntsville, AL	Wright vs. Major Motors Ray Edwards vs. City of Madison Intergraph Turner vs. MLP Assoc.		X X X	Х	
Nick Maram & Assoc.	2323 S. Voss Rd. Houston, TX	Polidore vs. Time Warner Cable, Inc. Arellano vs. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.		X X	X X	
Michael E. Grimes	2000 S. Mays St. Round Rock, TX	Finley vs. OHM SAI, Doc's		X		
Boyd & Holland PLLC	11550 IH -10 W. San Antonio, TX	Borsage vs. HE Butt Grocery Co.		Χ	Х	
Bush, Motto, Creen	5505 Victoria Ave. Davenport, IA	Flynn vs. Jewel Food Stores Ruesch vs. Harley Davidson Alcala vs. Marriott Borys vs. Isle of Capri Alan Arney Sarr vs. Pilot Travel		X X X X	X X	Х
William K. Goldfarb	301 S. Charlotte Ave. Monroe, NC	Adams vs. Food Lion LLC Williams vs. Home Depot Joseph Eddins Clark vs. Duke Energy		X X X	х	
Daniels & Morgan	4401 W. Hundred Rd. Chester, VA	Sadler vs. Sheetz, Inc. Rawlings vs. PMIG, LLC		X X		
Burnette & Burke, L.L.P.	317 Locust St. Abilene, TX	Hebert vs. Zoo-Koncepts, L.L.C. Nichols vs. Skinney's Stores Lester vs. Mal Enterprises, Inc. Botello vs. H.E.B.		X X X	X	

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 28 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Valenzuela & Stern, P.A.	100 N. Tampa St. Tampa, FL	Rios vs. Internal Bld. Mgt. Inc. Fisher vs. J. Alexander's Restaurant Roe vs. Eagle Ridge Mall		X X X	X	
Strasburger & Price, L.L.P.	901 Main St. #4300 Dallas, TX	Benavides vs. Denton Reg. Med. Ctr.	X			
Allen, Kopet & Assoc.	P.O. Box 300203 Memphis, TN	Hamilton vs. Goody's Family Clothing	X			
Walls, Walker, Harris & Wolfe	3030 NW Expressway, Oklahoma City, OK	Montgomery vs. Sottong, Dresser Mansion		X	X	
Brown & James	1010 Market St. St. Louis, MO	Atkinson cs. Steak-N-Shake Operations, Inc.	X			
Burnette & Driggers, L.L.C.	582 Mulberry St. Macon, GA	Goodfriend vs. A&M Hosp./ Hampton Inn		X	Χ	
Saverick, Schumann	6440 N. Central Expy. Dallas, TX	Poettgen vs. Janitex Rug Svc./The Matworks	X			
Covati & Robinson	1344 Maple Ave. Roanoak, VA	Foster vs. Flying J		×		
Joyce, Johnson & MacDonald	321 W. Galens St. Butte, MT	LaBuff vs. Costco		х		
The Martin Law Firm	808 Travis St. Houston, TX	Siewert vs. Myrtle Baech Hospital		X		
William J. Tuck	109 Oak St. Darlington, SC	Weatherford vs. W.L. Flowers/Florence Rental		X		
Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.	3701 Kirby Dr. Houston, TX	Malek vs. Aramark Facility Svcs.		X	Х	
Hayes & Boone L.L.P.	112 E. Pecan St. San Antonio, TX	Henning vs. Taco Cabana Rankin vs. HEB	X X			
Butt, Thornton & Baehr P.C.	4101 Indian School Rd. Albuquerque, NM	Nashboo vs. Akshar Mgt. Miller vs. Zia Partners Garcia vs. Johnson & Johnson	X X	Х	X	
Burgain G. Hayes	P.O. Box 10447 Austin, TX	Hoekenga vs. Lyon/Opep/New Old Plantation Long vs. Marriott Corp.		X X	Х	
Blanchy, Tabor, Bozik	56 S. Washington, Valparaiso, IN	Ellis vs. Valparaiso Care & Rehab		X		
Andreas I. Jones	1445 N. Loop West, Houston, TX	Larson vs. Yancey-Hausman & Assoc.		X		
Corbett Law Firm	2015 E. Phelps Springfield, MO	Welcome vs. SMSU		X		
Monty Partners L.L.P.	18964 Groschke Rd. Houston. TX	Guillen vs. R&E Meat Mkt.	X			
William A. Smith & Assoc.	3131 McKinney Ave. Dallas, TX	Kilmer vs. American Ironhorse		X	Х	Х
Watkins & Eager	400 E. Capitol St. Jackson, MS	Horner vs. Koestler		X		
Holden, Carr & Skeens	119 N. Robinson, Oklahoma City, OK	Stratton vs. First National Center	X			
Vernis & Bowling	1450 S. Woodland Blvd. Deland, FL	Williams vs. Aramark Uniform Services Marshall vs. Aramark Uniform Services	X X		X	
Brann Law Firm	7660 Woodway Dr. Houston, TX	Rhodes vs. Houston McLane Co. Dickson vs. Doucetts White vs. Hyatt Corp.		X X X	Х	
		Farr vs. EOG		X	X	

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 29 of 53

LAW FIRM	<u>ADDRESS</u>	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Linebaugh Law Firm	1300 Rollingbrook Baytown, TX	Downing vs. Winco Masonry Hallmark vs. Brookshire Bros, Inc. Sutterley vs. Wal-Mart Texas, LLC		X X X	Х	
John E. King & Assoc.	750 Mt. Zion Rd. Jonesboro, GA	Brown vs. American Multi-Cinema		Х	Х	
Lunn, Irion, Salley, Carlisle	330 Marshall St. Shreveport, LA	Gilmore vs. Starnet Insurance Co.	X			
Schechter, McElwee, Shaffer	3200 Travis St. Houston, TX	Zapata vs. NCL Amer, Norwegian Crusie Lines Brooks vs. Star Fleet Marina Lapread vs. Houston Aquarium Omeishi vs. Sllep Inn & Suites		X X X		
Smith & Smith	6300 Ridglea Pl. Fort Worth, TX	Hodapp vs. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.		X	Х	
Schiller Law Firm	2309 Parker Rd. Plano, TX	Eoff vs. Fairfax Apartments, Inc.		X	Х	
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner	620 Freedom Ctr. King of Prussia, PA	Huber vs. Health Mats Co., Inc.	X		Х	
Dobbs & Tittle, P.C.	112 E. Line. Tyler, TX	Polk vs. Armadillo Miller vs. Acadia Center Hamilton vs. Kidd-Jones Martinez vs. Wal-Mart Stafford vs. Lone Star Pizza Harrell vs. Community Health Systems Easley vs. Baylor Medical Center Crowley vs. Good Shepherd Medical Center Rutledge vs. Dillard's		x x x x x x x	x x x	
Woodke & Gibbons, P.C.	7253 Grover St. Omaha, NE	Kouris vs. Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc.		Х		
Brown, Pruitt, Peterson	201 Main St. Fort Worth, TX	Orlowski vs. La Playa Maya Restaurant Burns vs. Finn Inglis vs. Judge Beans Zabaneh vs. Shadow Creek Apts. Taylor vs. C. Miller Drilling Alrige vs. Saintsville Baptist Church Brooks vs. Zanbaka Vice vs. Pioneer Energy	X X X X		X X	X
Bailey & Gaylen	1833 Egret Bay Blvd. Houston, TX	Wiggins vs. McDonalds Restaurants		Х		
Baker, Ravenal & Bender	3710 Landmark Dr. Columbia, SC	Weatherford vs. IGA Rental Uniforms	Х		Х	
Stephen R. Fine	620 Chestnut St. Manchester, NH	Rovatsos vs. GMRI, Inc.		Х		
Javier Villarreal, PLLC	765 E. Seventh St. Brownsville, TX	Hernandez vs. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.		Х	Х	
Flint & Soyars, PC	5520 Plaza Dr. Texarkana, TX	Lange vs. Texas Roadhouse		Х	Х	
Bohm, Boyle & Jones	2141 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ	Stewart vs. Butler and Cent. AZ Med. Assoc.		Х	X	
A. Thomas Stubbs, Esq.	755 Commerce Dr. Decatur, GA	Brown vs. Amer. Multi Cinema, Inc.		Х	Х	
Cureton & Gordon LLP	101 Summit Ave. Fort Worth, TX	Hines vs./ WTC and Dallas Market Ctr.		Х	Х	Х
Ledbetter, Cogbill, Arnold	622 Parker Ave. Fort Smith, AR	Ward vs. Wyndham International	X		Х	

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 30 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Hill, Beam-Ward, Krause	8695 College Blvd. Overland Park, KS	Arnold vs. ABGrill Partners		X	Х	
Jane E. Dillinger P.C.	14160 Dallas Parkway Dallas, TX	Moore vs. Shogun of Japan	X		Х	
Grau-Koen	2711 N. Haskell Dallas, TX	Corey vs. Turley Law Center Owens vs.Intercontenental Hotels Butler vs. Covington Landscape	X X X		Х	
Blanchly, Tabor, Bozik	56 S. Washington, Valpariso, IN	Ellis vs. Valparaiso Rehab		Х	Х	
Ruggero Provenghi	7400 Viscount. El Paso, TX	Porras vs. El Paso Healthcare System		Χ		
Goins, Underkofler, Crawford	1200 E. Elm St. Dallas, TX	Ortiz vs. Michaels Stores, Inc.	Х		Χ	
Holloway Dobson & Bachman	211 N. Robinson #900 Oklahoma City, OK	Oklah vs. United States Post Office Ream vs. Celebration Station Tyler vs. HLD Investments		X X X	Х	
Turner & Associates	Justice Company Bld. West Point, MS	Wilson vs. Circus Circus Mississippi		Х	Х	
RGG&L	1355 Motor Pkwy. Hauppauge, NY	Schetty vs. Target Corp, Kenneth Cole Prod.		Х	Х	
Clark, Thomas & Winters	300 W. 6th St. Austin, TX 78701	Shaw vs. O'Reilly's Automotive Inc.	X		Х	
Foley & Small	1002 E. Jefferson Blvd. South Bend, IN	Larracuenta vs. United States Post Office		Х		
Bush Law Firm	6116 N. Central Exp. #1201 Dallas, TX 75206	Lopez vs. Minyards Food Stores Beauchamp vs. Auto Nation Ft. Worth		X X	X X	Х
Sommerman & Quesada	3811 Turtle Creek Blvd. Dallas, TX 75219	Phillip's vs. SAM's Club Pollack vs. American Airlines & SPC Svcs. Bear vs. Cintas and Johnson Oil		X X X		
Greene & Phillips	50 N. Florida St. Mobile, AL	Jones vs. Riverboat Gaming Corp.		Х	Х	
Leon Law Firm	One Sugar Creek Center Blvd. Houston, TX	East vs. Yarbrough Plaza Development Varino vs. HG Shopping Centers Dollar vs. Kroger Co.		X X X	X X X	
Rad Law Firm	12900 Preston Rd. #900 Dallas, TX	Belmont vs. Blockbuster, Inc.		Х		
Elsey & Elsey	3212 Long Prarie Rd. Flower Mound, TX	Mauriello vs. Bank of America		Х	Х	
Tim Cole	101 W. Hwy 82 Suite C. Nocona, TX	Mosely vs. Unicco Svc. Co.		Χ		
Prevost & Shaff	1518 Legacy Dr. Suite 260 Frisco, TX	Hess vs. Texas Instruments, Inc.		Х		
Michael M. Fulton	107 Long Bow Rd. San Antonio, TX	Gonzalez vs. The Gap, Inc.		Х		
Bush, Motto, Creen	5505 Victoria Ave. Suite 100 Davenport, IA	Borys vs. Isle of Capri Bettendorf		Х		
Ken Underwood	111 W. 5th St. Suite 400 Tulsa, OK	Strother vs. Whitlock Packaging Corp.		Х		
Hal, Booth. Smith & Slover	1180 W. Peachtree St. NW Atlanta, GA	Gerzel vs. Piedmont Hospital, Inc.	Х		Х	
Naman, Howwell, Sm	306 W. 7th St. Fort Worth, TX	McCants vs. McCarthy Building Companies	Х			
Linus Baker	6732 W. 185th Terrace Stilwell, KS	Shine vs. Kabuki Japanese Restaurant		Х		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 31 of 53

LAW FIRM	<u>ADDRESS</u>	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Leader, Bulso, Nolan & Burnstein	414 Union St. Suite 1740 Nashville, TN	Malone vs. Macy's Retail Holdings, Inc.		X	Х	
Phelps Dunbar	365 Canal St. Suite 2000 New Orleans, LA	Fazzio vs. Terrebonne Parish	Х			
Lindsay, Rappaport & Postel	221 N. West St. Waukegan, IL	Reeves vs. Mickey's Linen & Towel	Х		Х	
Stern, Miller & Higdon	4909 Bissonnet St. Suite 100 Bellaire, TX	McFarland vs. Brookshire Brothers, Ltd.		X		
Barr, Murman & Tonelli	201 E. Kennedy Blvd. Suite 1700 Tampa, FL	Reyes vs. Florida Medical Clinic		X	Х	
Jack K. Robinson	1 Horizon Ct. Heath, TX	Speights vs. Branch		X		
Robinson & Cole	280 Trumbull St. Hartford, CT	Schneider vs. Boehringer Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Х			
Frieser, Robinson & MacKay	10410 81 Ave. Edmonton, Alberta Canada	Simmons vs. Fairmont Hotels, Inc.		X		
Sommerman & Quesada	3811 Turtle Creek Blvd. Dallas, TX	Phillips vs. SAM's Club, Inc.		X		
Clinton & McKain	111 S. Independence Mall Philadelphia, PA	Kuktelionis vs. Tiffany's Restaurant		X	Х	
Meyer & Blumenshine	221 N. LaSalle St. Suite 2206 Chicago, IL	Caburnay vs. Norwegian American Hospital		X	Х	
Oxner, Thomas & Permar	1155 Revolution Mill Dr. Greensboro, NC	Joyce vs. Old Dominion Freight Line, Inc.		Χ		
Richardson, Boudreaux	6450 S. Lewis Ave. Suite 300 Tulsa, OK	Pullen vs. Walgreen Co. Washington vs. Wal Mart Stores		X X	Х	
Bush & Ramirez L.L.C.	24 Greenway Plaza #1700 Houston, TX	McCarthy vs. Wal Mart Stores, Inc.	Х			
Hodges Law Firm	13420 Santa Fe Trail Dr.	Parker vs. Wal Mart Stores, Inc.		X	Х	
Curcio Law Offices	161 N. Clark St. Chicago, IL	Loftsgaarden vs. Menards, Inc.		X	Х	
Martinson & Beason	115 North Side Square Huntsville, AL	Ardell Turnure vs. Hardee's		X	Х	
Newman, Comley & Ruth	601 Monroe St. Jefferson City, MO	Keller vs. Capitol Foods		X		
Friedman, Domiano, Smith	55 Public Square Cleveland, OH	Daugherty vs. Giant Eagle Stores, Inc.		X		
Kopka, Pinkus, Dolin & Eads	33533 W. Twelve Mile Rd. Farmington Mills, MI	Van Antwerp vs. Grosse Pointe Village Grill, Inc.	Х			
Rosen, Louik & Perry	437 Grant St. Pittsburgh, PA	Mays vs. Five Guys, LLC		X		
Frank P. Barbaro & Assoc.	200 N. Main St. Santa Ana, CA	Coleman vs. City of Santa Ana Bacarella vs. Lowes Companies Linda Culver Spencer vs.City of Claremont		X X X		
Jan M. Weinberg	1164 Bishop St. Honolulu, HI	Ribeiro vs. Safeway, Inc.		x	Х	
John P. Campbell III	3500 N. Hullen St. Metairie, LA	Henderson vs. Whitney National Bank		Х	Х	
Rodden & Rodden	125 N. 20th St. Philadelphia, PA	Blumenfeld vs. Burger King Restaurants Cedeno vs. OLS Office Partners		X X		
Roeig, Oliveria & Fisher	855 W. Price Rd. Brownsville, TX	Bustillos vs. Knapp Medical Ctr.	Х		<u>x</u>	
Brady Law Chartered	2537 W. State St. Boise. ID	Hawe vs. Harks Corner, Inc.	X		Х	X

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 32 of 53

LAW FIRM	<u>ADDRESS</u>	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Michael A. Washington	301 Congress Ave. Austin, TX	Naman vs. Pioneer Property Mgt.		X		
Newman, Comley & Ruth	601 Monroe St. Jefferson City, MO	Keller vs. Capitol Foods d.b.a Shulte's		X	Х	
Branson L. Wood III	1001 Center St. Hannibal, MO	Ryan vs. Timberland Company		X	Х	
Cytryn & Velazquez	1401 N. University Dr. Coral Springs, FL	Argento vs. Supper King Buffet Miami Miltner vs. Mr. Fish Nevarez vs. HEB		X X X	Х	
Ball & Yorke	1001 Partridge Dr. Ventura, CA	Herrera vs. Saline Enterprises		Х		
Denson & Assoc.	1004 Twentieth Ave. Meridian, MS	Usher vs. Phoenix I Condominium Owners Assoc.		х		
McDonald, Tinker, Skaer	300 W. Douglas Wichita, KS	Jones vs. Frontier Fuel L.L.C.	Х			
Abraham, Watkins, Nichols	800 Commerce St. Houston, TX	Johnson vs. Faras Investments Cruz vs. Diamond Gulf Food Stores Miriam Rivera Downing vs. HEB Diribe vs. TPMC Realty Services Group Johnson vs. Houston Convention Ctr. Hotel Anderson vs. Lowe's Stores Hinojosa vs. B.C. Siena Homeowners Assoc.		x x x x x x	x x	
Summerman & Quesada	3811 Turtle Creek Blvd. Dallas, TX	Davis vs. Hilcom Partners Ltd.		Х	Х	
Webb, Stokes & Sparks	314 W. Harris San Angelo, TX	Goetz vs. KFC Doyal vs. Roper, Specialty Retailers d.b.a Bealls		X X	х	
Tribble, Ross & Wagner	3355 W. Alabama St. Houston, TX	Jones vs. Cullen Allen Holdings	Х			
Langley Law Firm	8170 N. 86th Place. Scottsdale, AZ	Herman vs. Meineke	Х			
Judy M. Guice	750 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd. Biloxi, MS	Francis vs. Riverboat Corp of MS		X	Х	
Donati Law Firm Fowler Law Firm	1545 Union Ave. Memphis, TN 25 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Ave. Memphis, TN	Cox vs. City of Memphis and Chili's Grill & Bar Covington vs. City of New York Stephenson vs. Arbors of Century Ctr. Huckleberry vs. Embassy Prop. Taylor vs. MSCAA Bates vs. Kroger Co. Glisson vs. Woodgate		x x x x x x	Х	
Hunter & Assoc.	901 Lake St. Fort Worth, TX	Edwards vs. Mollo		Х		
Mark D. Vancleave	606 Rollingbrook Dr. Baytown, TX	Colquitt vs. The Kroger Co.		Х	Х	
William K. Dippell	12221 Merit Dr. Dallas, TX	Eastam vs. Cirrus Group		Х		
Frieser, Robinson, MacKay	10410 81 Ave. Edmonton, Alberta Canada	Simons vs. Fairmont Hotels & Resorts		Х		
Park, Park & Remillard	707 Richards St. Honolulu, HI	Yates vs. Safeway, Inc.		Х		
Atkinson, Petruska, Kozma & Hart	N11480 Hwy. M-95 Channing, MI	Flaviani vs. Bubba's Pampered Pedalers Pemberton vs. Gibson Guitar		X X		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 33 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Davidson Law Firm	724 Garland St. Little Rock, AR	Alonzo vs. W2005 WYN Hotels, L.P. Baid vs. Hatch	x	Χ	х	Х
Funderburk & Funderburk	2777 Allen Parkway Houston, TX	Salinas vs. Lorraine Ent. d.b.a. IHOP		X		
Sherman & Howard	633 Seventeenth St. Denver, CO	Cargill Meat Solutions vs. OSHA	X			
Scott H. Palmer	15455 Dallas Pkwy. Addison, TX	Sutherland vs. USPS Wilson vs. GEC Armstrong vs. Posados Café		X X X	Х	
Gallo, Vitucci & Klar	One University Plaza. Hackensack, NJ	Kalish vs. HEI Hospitality		X		
Stewart R. Werner	801 S. Filmore. Amarillo, TX	Sizemore vs. H.J. Dana Ent.		X		
John F. Eichler & Assoc.	1559 S. Hull Ave. Westchester, IL	Cirritano vs. R.J.H. Stores, Inc.		X	Х	
Stephen W. Shoultz	900 Jackson St. Dallas, TX	Dominguez vs. Ortowski Construction Bramlett vs. Eddie V's King vs. McDonald's Restaurants		X X X		
Robert Simms Thompson	308 N. Elm St. Tuskegee, AL	Swift vs. Wal-Mart Stores		X		
Ramey Law	3890 W. Northwest Hwy. Ave. Dallas, TX	Randall vs. Planet Tan Sparkman vs. Wal-Mart Stores Whitehouse vs. Park Inn Hotel DFW South Diane Straing		X X X	X X	X
Cathey & Strain	649 Irvin St. Cornellia, GA	Trust vs. Georgia Dept. of Corrections		X		
Thomas & Wan, LLP	1710 Sunset Blvd. Houston, TX	Blysard vs. Hines Investment		X	Х	
Corrigan, McCoy & Bush PLLC	220 Columbia Turnpike. Rensselaer, NY	Zielinski vs. Scotia Linen Supply	X		Х	
Chad Cable	323 Gilmer. Sulphur Springs, TX	Humphries vs. Marcia LTD.		X	Х	X
David C. Vong	11205 Bellaire Blvd. Houston, TX	Tran vs. Hong Kong Development		X		
Carnahan & Thomas LLP	1190 N. Carroll Ave. Southlake, TX	Willis vs. Double Diamond, Inc.		X	Х	
Pavalon, Gifford & Laatsch	Two North LaSalle St. Chicago, IL	Choi vs. Marriott Corp.		X		
Cuffie Law Firm	3080 Campbellton Rd. Atlanta, GA	Marquette vs. Sam's East, Inc.		X		
Daniels & Morgan	11901 Iron Bridge Rd. Chester, VA	Story vs. Cain Creek Shopping Center Hudgins vs. CJW Medical Center		X X		
Christina S. Nacopoulos	349 W. Sixth St. Erie, PA	Kolski vs. Erie County Convention Ctr.		X		
Breyer Law	15715 S. 46th St. Phoenix, AZ	Kaspar vs. City of Phoenix Gutowski vs. 99 Cents Stores		X X	Х	
Travelers Insurance Co.	P.O. Box 650293 Dallas, TX	Arun Vittal Donna Powell	X X			
Rebein, Bangerter, Rebein PA	810 Frontview Dodge City, KS	Berens vs. Love's Convenience Store Brown vs. Allegro Palm Condominium		X X		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 34 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	<u>PLAINTIFF</u>	DEPO.	TRIAL
Seale, Stover & Bisbey	950 N. Wheeler Jasper, TX	Rawls vs. Oil Bowl of Longview Shultz vs. Hercules Offshore, Inc. Smith vs. Wal-Mart Stores Texas LP Bickerstaff vs. Regency Centers Thibodeaux vs. Toledo Bend Emerald Coce Marina		X X X X	X	
John M. Lannom	422 McGaughey St Dyersburg, TN	Feather vs. Perkins Restaurant		Х		
Jason Wylie Law	357 Keller Pkwy. Keller, TX	McDaniel vs. Wells Fargo Bank		X		
Walker & Morgan LLC	135 E. Main St. Lexington, SC	Woodard vs. Food Lion LLC		Х		
Stone & Horne	1240 Third St. Corpus Christie, TX	Wimpy vs. Motel 6 Operating, LP		Χ	X	
Freeman Law Firm	1770 St. James Pl. Houston, TX	Arceneaux vs. Vu and Le Investments		X		
Montes Law Group	1121 Kinwest Parkway Irving, TX	Loya vs. Gomez & Ajilon North America Pineda vs. Club Corp		X X	Х	
Carcione, Cattermole, Dolinski	601 Brewster Ave. Redwood City, CA	Lecoutier vs. Harvest Properties Salazar vs. ABC Bus, Inc		X X	X X	
Reed & Riordan	13140 Coit Rd. Dallas, TX	Berrios vs. Pilgrims Pride Corp. Sparks vs. Big Lots Stores, Inc.		X X	X X	Х
Modrall Sperling	500 Fourth St. Albuquerque, NM	Cabot vs. Wal-Mart Stores		X		
Gregory W. Allen	16815 Royal Crest Houston, TX	Watson vs. Hotel USA Partners		Х		
J. Houston Gordon	114 W. Liberty Ave. Covington, TN	Reynolds vs. Upon this Rock Amusement Mickalowski vs. Office Depot Mills vs. N&M Investment Weller vs. O'Charley's		X X X	x x x	Х
Kuzmich Law Firm	335 W. Main St. Lewisville, TX	Bradley vs. Dallas Stars Ctr. Miller vs. Petsmart Hammerle vs. OHMS		X X X		
Marrone Law Firm LLC	200 S. Broad St. Philadelphia, PA	Walker vs. K-Mart Corp.		X		
Affiliated Attorneys of Pistotnik	2831 East Central Wichita, KS	Salas vs. Kraig Ransonette		X		
Martinez, Hart, Thompson PC	1801 Rio Grande Blvd. Albuquerque, NM	Rael vs. Smith's Food & Drug Centers Davis vs. City of Albuquerque Wils vs. Launchpad Night Club Beatrice Apodaca Maston vs. Wal-Mart Laurance vs. Mannie's Restaurant Le vs. NM Risk Management Patterson vs. William Frost Sr. Rusconi Acosta vs. Furniture Warehouse Nunez vs. Wal-Mart Stores East		x x x x x x x x x		
Rangel Law Firm	615 Upper North Broadway Corpus Christie, TX	Fisher vs. Aston Design's Inc.		Х		
Richard J. Danner	6440 N. Central Exp. Dallas, TX	Ayala vs. Minyard Food Stores		Х		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 35 of 53

LAW FIRM	<u>ADDRESS</u>	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Vasquez & Sammons	440 Louisiana Suite 1440 Houston, TX	Gee vs. VGA Animal Hospital		х		
Hugh Behan	4000 Hollywood Blvd. Hollywood, FL	Reingowsky vs. Pilgrim Mats	Х		Х	
Matteuzzi & Brooker, PC	10111 W. 105th St. Overland Park, KS					
Carlson Law Firm	P.O. Box 10520 Kileen, TX	Bradshaw vs. Hawthorn Milam vs. KD Foods dba Long John Silvers		X X		
Patton & Ryan LLC	330 N. Wabash Ave. Chicago, IL	Nielsen vs. Rust-Oleum Corp.	X		Х	
Salvato & O'Toole	53 W. Jackson St. Chicago, IL	Brown vs. Jewel Food Stores Chang vs. Best Buy Co., Inc.		X X	X	
Benninger Law	154 Pleasant St. Morgantown, WV	Parsons vs. Winans Sanitary Supply		X		
Norris & Norris, PLC	424 Church St. Nashville, TN	Leake vs. Rafferty's		X		
Martinson & Beason, PC	115 North Side Square. Huntsville, AL	Hicks vs. Chilli's Restaurant		Х		
Gill & Chamas	655 Florida Grove Rd. Woodbridge, NJ	MacLean vs. Jet Aviation		Х		
Bart Broz	48 East Ave. Austin, TX	Mitchell vs. Home Depot		X		
Fridge & Resendez, LLC	3000 Smith St. Houston, TX	Brown vs. Lowe Enterprises, Inc.		X		
Miller & Brown, LLP	400 S. Ervay St. Dallas, TX	Sacks vs. Stripe-A-Zone, Inc.	X		Х	
Pasley, Farabough and Mouledoux	333 W. Main St. Ardmore, OK	Hinshaw vs. Dollar General Corp. Bueno vs. Dollar General Corp. Dater vs. Dolgencorp Chada vs. Dolgencorp Tyler vs. Dollar General Swindall vs. Dolgencorp Moreland vs. Dolgencorp Plunk vs. Dolgencorp Nguyen vs. Dolgencorp Deatherage vs. Dolgencorp Hendrix vs. Dolgencorp Jack vs. Dolgencorp	x x x x x x x x x x x			
Ominsky & Ominsky	1600 JFK Blvd. Philedelphia, PA	Rutherford vs. Kinder Morgan		Х	X	
Adam R. Hardison	5050 Quorum Dr. Dallas, TX	Palmer vs. Bass Performing Hall		X	X	X
Geraldo R. Villarrial	3640 W. Waco Dr. Waco, TX	Uzzell vs. McDonald's Rest. And York Pumping Co.		Х		
Herrington Law Firm	405 Main St. Houston, TX	Thomas vs. Macy's Dept. Stores		X		
Friday, Eldredge & Clark	400 W. Capitol Little Rock, AR	A.Q. Chicken vs. Oscoda Plastics	X			
Keating, O"gara, Nedved & Peter	530 S. 13th St. Lincoln, NE	Mertz vs. Hamilton Telephone Co.		Х		
Coker Law	136 E. Bay St. Jacksonville, FL	Johnson vs. Publix		Х		Х
Lovell, Lovell, Newsom & Isern	112 W. 8th Ave. Amarillio, TX	Garibay vs. Unifirst Co.		X		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 36 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Tim Cole	101 W. Highway 82 Suite C Nocona, TX	Mosely vs. Unicco Service Co.		X		
Joseph A. Frank, Esq.	308 N. 21st. St. St. Louis, MO	Morris vs. Costco		X	Х	
Zinda Law Group	8834 N. Capital of Texas Hwy. Austin, TX	Hebert vs. H.E.B. Grocery Co. Howorth vs. Tractor Supply Weathers vs. Walmart Corp.		X X X		
Mountain, Baird, Mountain	2618 7th St. Tuscaloosa, AL	Davi vs. Greystar Partners Shaddox vs. Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians		X X		
Bond Law Firm	1425 K St. Washington, DC	Edwards vs. Hickory Hollow Mall		X		
Rex Travis Law Office	P.O. Box 1336 Oklahoma City, OK	Bowles vs. McDonalds Restaurants		X		
Wolter, Beeman & Lynch	1001 S. Sixth St. Springfield, IL	Nichols vs. Panera Bread		×		
Lytal, Reiter, Smith, Ivy & Fronrath	515 N. Flagler Dr. West Palm Beach, FL	Bonham vs.Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.				
Paul Lelii	P.O. Box 11134 St. Paul, MN	You vs. Mall of America		X		
Rick L. Powell P.C.	101 Summit Ave. Fort Worth, TX	Starling vs. McLane Co.		×		
Ray Hodge & Assoc.	135 N. Main St. Wichita, KS	Thompson vs. Wal-Mart Stores Galloway vs. FirstOak Bank		X X	X	Х
Keating, O'Gara, Nedved & Peter	530 S. 13th St. Lincoln, NE	Mertz vs. Aramark and Hamilton Tele.		X		
Siniard, Timberlake and League	125 Holmes Ave. Huntsville, AL	Berry vs. Wal-Mart Stores		X		
Wormington Law Group	207 E. Lamar St. McKinney, TX	Morgan vs. Sally's Supply Co.		X	Χ	
Mark V. Ferrante	161 N. Clark St. Chicago, IL	Franklin vs. BP Products North America		×		
The Lapeze Firm	1113 Vine St. Houston, TX	Normand vs. Goldston Oil Corp.		X		
Shuttleworth, Ruloff, Swain, Haddad	4525 South Blvd. Virginia Beach, VA	Anderson vs. Applebee's Restaurant Mid-Atlantic Basdeo vs. Advance Stores Co.		X X		
Beasley Allen	218 Commerce St. Montgomery, AL	Emma Alsip vs. Wal-Mart		×		
Darrell W. Calvin	12221 Merit Dr. Suite 630 Dallas, TX	Antonia Romo vs. Blue Star Imaging		X		
Doehling Law	628 Road Ave. Suite 3 Grand Junction, CO	Norman and Wilma Kroschel vs. Delta Hardware Fink vs. Dorsey		X X		
Houston M. Smith	210 East Moore Terrell, TX	Louise Blackburn vs. Physician Reliance McCoy-Renfro vs.RLS Supermarkets d/b/a Minyards Schoendienst vs. Wheatland Hotels Bieler vs. PMC Retail Venture 3		X X X		
D. Vanlandingham	Amco Insurance Des Moines, IA	Angeline Brady vs. Carl's Jr. Restaurants	Х			
Thomson Law Office	414 Old Taos Hwy Suite A Santa Fe, NM	Jorgensen vs. City of Santa Fe		Χ		
The Rice Firm	5020 Montrose Blvd. Suite 650 Houston, TX	Patricia Garcia vs. Bravo Ranch and Super Bravo		Х		
Valenzuela and Stern	100 North Tampa Street Suite 2350 Tampa, FL	Linda Brookshire vs. Hospitality Unlimited		Х		
Valorizuela anu Otelli	100 Horur Tampa Ouleet Oulte 2000 Tampa, FL	Enda brookstille vs. Hospitality Offillititled		^		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 37 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Renaud, Cook, Drury, Mesaros, PA	1 North Central Suite 900 Phoenix, AZ	Karen and John Preininger vs Safeway Inc.	Х			
Adams Law Firm	P.O Box 2615 Wimberley, TX	Lazo vs. Dolgencorp		X	Х	
Phillips,Spallas & Angstadt, LLC	504 S. Ninth St. Las Vegas, NV	Buenrostro vs. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	Х			
Bell and Young	4001 Meadows Ln, Las Vegas, NV	Sandra Henley vs. Smiths Food and Drug Centers		X		
Rosner & Chavez, L.L.C.	1100 S. Main St. Las Cruces, NM	Garcia vs. NMSU	Х			
Hernandez & Browning	8111 LBJ Frwy Suite 1065, Dallas, TX	Anthony Mika vs. BJ's Restaurant Hernandez vs. Fiesta Mart		X X	х	
Hilfman & Head	33 North Dearborn St. Suite 1700, Chicago, IL	Kilsby vs. Naut-I-Gal				
Jim Ross and Associates	2301 E. Lamar Blvd Suite 175, Arlington, TX	Kayla Lynn Zents vs. Americo-Centerview Parker vs. Bigelow Arizona Porter vs. The General Store Wafer vs. QuikTrip Corp. Lakey vs. Carlyle/Cypress West 7th L.P.		X X X X		
Lawrence B. Franklin	819 Walnut, Kansas City, MO	Emeil P. Humphrey vs. L.W. Management, McDonalds	Corp.	Х		
MacLean Law Firm	3500 Hulen St. Suite 100, Fort Worth, TX	Gabriel and Sarah Lord vs. Chesapeake Operating		X		
Modjarrad, Abusaad, Said	100 N. Central Expy Suite 1000, Richardson, TX	Graciela Reyna vs. Kroger Texas Cruikshank vs. Pluckers Wing Bar		X X	Х	
The Marye Law Firm	2619 Hibernia St. Dallas, TX	Billy S. Walkup vs. Tyson Foods		Х		
Owens & Mulherin	P.O Box 13368, Savannah, GA	Brenda Gay vs. Tristar Products		Х		
Paskvan & Ringstad	714 4th Ave Suite 301, Fairbanks, AK	James Cannon vs. State of Alaska		Х		
Romaguera Law Group	11911 U.S. Highway N. Palm Beach, FL	Anderson vs. Medical Specialists of Palm Beaches	Х			
Rhorer Law Firm	10566 Airline Hwy, Baton Rouge, LA	Elizabeth Lemoine vs. Jack in the Box		X		
Steve Watrel	6129 Atlantic Blvd Jacksonville, FL	David Triassi vs. Winn-Dixie Stores		Х		
Taggart Morton	1100 Poydras St Suite 2100, New Orleans, LA	Helen F. Washington vs. River Oaks Hospital		Х		Х
Briones & Assoc.	P.O. Box 569 League City, TX	Miguel Briones vs. Seller's Bros.		Χ		
Wooton, Kimbrough, & Normand	236 South Lucerne Circle at Delaney, Orlando, FL	Debra Natiss vs. Walt Disney Parks and Resorts		Х		
Wruck Paupore PC	275 US Hwy 30 Suite 250, Dyer, IN	Melissa Barrett vs. Montclair Chicago Select Lisa Konoski		X X	Х	
Wyatt Law Firm	70 NE Loop 410 Suite 725, San Antonio, TX	Cindy Vela Julie Shank Torres vs. Ross Dress for Less Kathe Aguilera Burdine vs. QuikTrip Orozco vs. 7-11 and Cintas Foran vs. Atlantic Pacific Management Elizabeth Acosta		x x x x x x		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 38 of 53

LAW FIRM	<u>ADDRESS</u>	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Mattingly & Schneider	1900 W. Loop S.#770 Houston, TX	Knight vs. La Quinta Inns, Inc.		X		
Brasfield, Freeman, Goldis & Cash	2553 First Ave. North, St. Petersburg, FL	O'Connor vs. Ruehl	Х			
Thomas J. Henry	521 Starr St. Corpus Christi, TX	Sanchez vs. Susser Holdings, Inc / Coca Cola Gutierrez vs. Corpus Christie Medical Ctr.		X X		
Saalfield, Shad, Stokes	245 Riverside Ave. Jacksonville, FL	Shary vs. Winn Dixie		Х	Х	
The Mcleod Firm	1200 Plantation Island Dr. S., St. Augustine, FL	Useem vs. Target Corporation		Х		
Cannon & Nelms	160 South Old Springs Rd. Anaheim, CA	Jones vs. Chi Dynasty Brady vs. Carl's Jr. Carter vs. Bicos Carter vs. Gus's BBQ Gomez vs. California Fid Mgt. Mason vs. Core Distribution, Inc.	X X X X X			
Garner Law Firm	7660 Woodway Dr. Houston, TX	Randalls / Tom Thumb Grocery Stores	Х			
Guerra Moore	4201 N. McColl Rd. McAllen, TX	Galvan vs. H.E.B. Grocery Comp.		Х		
Winstead Law Firm	401 Congress Ave. Austin, TX	Eastman vs. Randall's Food Mkt.	X		Х	
Craig, Kelley & Faultless, LLC	5845 Lawton Loop East Dr. Indianapolis, IN	Taylor vs. Meijer Stores, LP	X			
Stevens, Balbo, Freeman	550 Fannin St. Beaumont, TX	Castillo vs. Woodlands Waterway Marriott Hotel	Х			
Herzfeld & Rubin P.C.	125 Braod St. New York, NY	Arias vs. Stonhard Hans- Burgher vs. Stonhard	X X	V		
Corban-Gunn-VanCleave	146 Porter Ave. Biloxi, MS	Hurr vs. Riverboat Casino		Х		
Gruber Law	100 E. Wisconsin Ave. Milwaukee, WI	Willis vs. Allstate Insurance Co.		X		
Martin, Showers, Smith & McDonald	62 W. Elm St. Hillsboro, TX	Thames vs. Vaughn Funeral Home		Х		
Hamilton Law Firm	802 E. 15th St. Plano, TX	Donna Shelton		^		
Braden, Varner & Angelly P.C.	703 McKinney Ave. Dallas, TX	Willis vs. Kohl's Department Stores, Inc.		X	Х	
Ryan, Ryan & Landa	209 W. Madison St. Waukegan, IL	Lidman vs. Jewel Food Stores		X		
Dworkin, Chambers, Williams, York	3900 E. Mexico Ave. Denver, CO	Tanya Nixon vs. USA 5500 S. Quebec St. Management		X		
Frailey, Chaffin, Cordell	201 N. 4th St. Chickasha, OK	Todd vs. Wal-Mart Stores East LP		X		
Escamilla Law Firm	1416 Dove Ave. McAllen, TX	Nevarez vs. HEB Restrepo vs. Cuisines of Mexico Luna vs. DeMayo Cellular Henriksen vs. Echo Hotel		X X X	Х	
Cogan & Power, PC	1 East Wacker Dr. Chicago, IL	Carson vs. Jewel Foods Allenson vs. Starbucks Bianl vs. Oswego Inn		X X X		
Beam & Raymond Assoc.	954 W. Washington Blvd. Chicago, IL	Bittner vs. Beacon Hotel		Х		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 39 of 53

LAW FIRM	<u>ADDRESS</u>	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Bob Osburn	3400 Carlisle Dallas, TX	Fugitt vs. Walmart		Х		
Fadduol, Cluff, Hardy & Conaway	406 N. Grant Ave. Odessa, TX	Hinojos vs. Albertsons, LLC		Х	X	
Fraiser, Fraiser & Hickman, LLP	1700 Southwest Blvd. Tulsa, OK	Williams vs. Las Americas		Х		
Sher, Garner, Cahill, Richter	909 Poydras St. New Orleans, LA	Guidry vs. PKRB		X		
Springfield Law, PA	806 NW 16th Ave. Gainesville, FL	Tucker vs. H&R Block		Х	X	
The Rice Firm	5020 Montrose Blvd. Suite 650 Houston, TX	Patricia Garcia vs. Bravo Ranch and Super Bravo		Х		
Atkins & Markoff	9211 Lake Hefner Pkwy. Oklahoma City, OK	Lorraine Moore vs Twin Peaks		X		
Eisenberg Gilchrist & Cutt	215 S State St, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT	Marlin McBeth vs Tom & Clone, Inc		Х		
Keller & Keller LLC	505 Marquette Ave NW. Albuquerque, NM	Wanda Williams vs Buffalo Wild Wings		X		
Montes-Lewis Law PC	611 S Main St, Suite 700, Grapevine, TX	Pastor vs Academy Dawson vs American Airlines		X X	X	
Hightower Law Group PLLC	4144 North CentralExpressway, Dallas, TX	Dawson vs American Amines		^		
Banker, Lopex, Gassler P.A.	501 E. Kennedy Blvd. Tampa, FL	O'Connor vs. Ruehl	Х		X	
Brooks P. Lynn	710 Centerpark Suite 140, Colleyville, TX	Peter J. Donnelly vs Jabo's Ace Hardware		X		
Marc C. Lanahan	2655 Villa Creek Dallas, TX	Horvath vs. Motel 6		Χ		
Rathbun, Cservenyak & Kozol	3260 Executive Dr. Joliet, IL	Farmer vs. USPS		Χ	X	Х
The Jones Firm	1800 Old Pecos Trail Sante Fe, NM	Murray vs. Westgate Properties Baca vs. City of Santa Fe		X X	Х	
Jay Luneau	1239 Jackson St. Alexandria, LA	Emerson vs. Clark-Dunbar		Χ	X	
Chanco Schiffer, P.C.	3355 Lenox Rd. Atlanta, GA	King vs. IKEA U.S.		Χ	X	
Gunderson, Palmer, Nelson & Ashmore	506 Sixth St. Rapid City SD	Bitters vs. Red Pet, LLC	Х		X	
Stevens, Littman, Biddison, Tharp	250 Arapahoe Rd. Boulder, CO	Helsing vs. Whole Foods Market		Χ		
Brill & Rinaldi.	17150 Royal Palm Blvd.	Diamond vs. Macy's Kirsch vs. Carnival Cruise Lines		X X		
Leibel Law	P.O. Box 1868 Dahlonega, GA	Winter vs. Tech. College Sys. Of Georgia		Χ		Х
Wickes Law, PLLC	5600 Tennyson Parkway Plano, TX	Self vs. Bronson Rock				
Patrick Primavera	8505 Technology Forrest Pl. The Woodlands, TX	Thirstrup vs. Slidell Athletic Club		X		
Fonvielle, Lewis, Foote & Messer	3375-A Capital Circle NW, Tallahassee, FL	Cohen vs. Monme, Inc.		X		
Aguiar Injury Lawyers PLLC	1201 Story Ave, Suite 301, Louisville, KY	Dorothy Spear vs The Cheesecake Factory		Χ	Χ	
Halley, Talbot and Smithton	13901 Quail Pointe Dr, Oklahoma City, OK	Henderson vs Walmart McCoy vs Braums		X X		
Fischer & Manno	3421 Youree Dr. Shreveport, LA	Hudlow vs. Roadhouse of Bossier City		X		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 40 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
The Meazell Firm, P.C.	1400 Gables Ct. Plano, TX	Ballent vs. Inland American Frisco Village Towns vs. Petsmart, Inc.		X X		
Law Offices of Nhan Nguyen	2500 West Loop South, Houston, TX	Hernandez vs. Kroger		Χ		
Scanlan Law Group	8 S. Michigan Ave. Chicago, IL	Staszel-Boyles vs. New Albertson's Inc. Branand vs. BMO Harris Janssen vs. McDonald's Restaurants		X X X	Х	
Rusty Hardin & Associates	1401 McKinney St. Houston, TX	Foley vs. H.E.B.		Х		
Irelan McDaniel PLLC	440 Lousiana St. Houston, TX	Wheeler vs. SV Domain Eldridge Limited	X			
Edmiston & Colton	310 Grand Ave. Billinfgs, MT	Sobotta vs. Residence Inn. Bozeman-Marriott		Χ		
Giorgi & Bebekoski, LLC	9205 Broadway. Merrillville, IN	Jacobucci vs. Paradise Cove Ent.		Χ		
Sutton Law Firm	1515 S. Capital of Texas Hwy. Austin, TX	Moore vs. The Flying Saucer Beer Emporium		Χ		
Walker & Associates	3838 N. Causeway Blvd. Metaire, LA	Kuenker vs. Marriott Intl., Fairfield Inn & Suites		Х		
Shamoun-Norman LLP	Four Hickory Ctr. Dallas, TX	Brewer vs. Minyard Food Stores		X		
John Meazell, PC	1400 Gables Court. Plano, TX	Ballent vs. Inland American S. Frisco Village		Х		
Browning Law Firm, PLLC	P.O. Box 1600 Abilene, TX	Edington vs. Southwest Convenience Stores, Inc.		X		
Robins-Kaplan, LLP	800 LaSalle Ave. Minneapolis, MN	Williams vs. Tristar Products (Aquarug)		X		
Kmiec Law	3741 W. National Ave. Milwaukee, WI	Zenoni vs. Cintas Corp		Х	Х	
George (Tex) Quesada	3811 Turtle Creek Blvd. Dallas, TX	Gadsden vs. Marriott International		Х		
Levinson, Axelrod, PA	121 Route #31. Flemington, NJ	Appelbaum vs. Wegman's Food Markets		X		
Faklis & Tallis	35 E. Wacker Dr. Chicago, IL	Thompson vs. BMO Harris		X	Х	Х
Halloran Law Firm	5350 College Blvd. Overland Park KS	Shannon vs. Tulipana	Х			
Lubel Voyles	5020 Montrose Blvd. Houston, TX	Heinson vs. Brookfield & Pritchard Passailaigue vs. Aztec Family Group		X X		
Pandit Law	650 Poydras St. New Orleans, LA	Kuenker vs. Marriott International/Fairfield Inn		X		
Flaherty Dow Elliott & McCarthy	120 Adelaide St. Toronto Ontario Canada	Kalsi vs. Wal-Mart Stores Canada/ARP	X			
Jeffrey Scott LLP	P.O. Box 4668 New York, NY	Bosire vs. Kroger Co.		x		
Burch, George & Germany	1500 City Place Bld. Oklahoma City, OK	Brown vs. Sulphur Motor Inn		X		
Dorothy E. Heim, PLLC	4816 N. Classen Blvd. Oklahoma City, OK	Johnson vs. The Mule		X	Х	
Blair P. Durham	404 James Robertson Pkwy. Nashville, TN	Taylor vs. Brookside Properties		X		
Jeff Brooke	4705 Columbus St. Virginia Beach, VA	Meyer vs. Coastal Hospitality Assoc.		Х		
Brady, Preston, Brown P.C.	2735 1st Ave SE. Cedar Rapids, IA	Berry vs. O'Donnell Ace		Χ		
James R. Walsh	20201 Cedar Valley Rd. Lynwood, WA	Luvaas vs. Sequim Bay Lodge		Х		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 41 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	<u>PLAINTIFF</u>	DEPO.	TRIAL
Bruce McDonald	1110 Pennsylvania St. Albuquerque, NM	McDonald vs. Marriott Residence Inn		X	Χ	
Beasley Allen	218 Commerce St. Montgomery, AL	Emma Alsip vs. Wal-Mart Smith vs. Pilot Travel Services		X X	Х	
Harris Law Firm	4407 Parliament Dr. Alexandria, LA	Guillory vs. Christus St. Francis Hospital		Х		
Herrington Law Firm	405 Main St. Houston, TX	Warner vs. Stages, Inc.		X		
Josh Borsellino	1020 Macon St. Forth Worth, TX	Bridges vs. Posado's Restaurants		Х		
Romano Law Group	1005 Lake Ave. Lake Worth, FL	Hammond vs. Texas Roadhouse Holdings, LLC		Х		
Johnson, Vorhees & Martucci Bordas and Bordas	811 N. Boonville Ave. Boonville, MO 1358 National Rd, Wheeling, WV	Alumbaugh vs. Monopoly I, LLC Wulf vs. Hotel Stilwell Miller vs. Cheddar's Casual Café Donald Dornon vs. Kroger		X X X	X	X
Davczyk & Varline, LLC	1400 Merrill Ave. Wausau, WI	Xong Lor Vue vs. Skogen's Festival Foods	Х			
Materna, Custer & Associates	2104 E. 11 Mile Road. Warren, MI	Turner vs. Erebus		Х		
Chanco, Shciffer, PC	3355 Lenox Rd. Atlanta, GA	Lawyer vs. P-Fish Partners		Х		
Meyers, Rodbell, Rosenbaum, P.A.	6801 Kenilworth Ave. Riverdale Park, MD	Slava-Khodak-Gelman vs. G.W. Medical		Х		
Richard Schechter	One Greenway Plaza. Houston, TX	Owens vs. Regal Entertainment Group		Х		
Bryan & Terrill	9 East 4th St. Tulsa, OK	Williams vs. Tulsa Credit Union		Χ	X	
Rebein, Bangerter, Rebein	810 Frontview. Dodge City, KS	Estate of Kyle Lombardo Odell vs. Oil Producers, Inc.		X X		
Stevens, Littman, Biddison	250 Arapahoe Ave. Boulder, CO	Kuhlke vs. Whole Foods		Х		
White & Weddle, P.C.	630 N.E. 63rd St. Oklahoma City, OK	Folsom vs. Dillard's		Х		
Graves, McLain, PLLC	4137 S. Harvard Ave. Tulsa, OK	Kittlson vs. Farm Properties Doris Young		X X		
Anderson, Traylor, Edwards	111 N. Oak St. Hammond, LA	Dale vs. Taco Bell of America Lee vs. Auto Zone Ross vs. ACE American Insurance Cobb vs. Kindercare Ed. Gay vs. Thomas West Givens vs. Hammond Surgical Hospital France vs. City of Hammond, LA Russell vs. Western World Insurance		x x x x x x	Х	
Harlin, Parker	519 E. Tenth St. Bowling Green, KY	Pulliam vs. Houchens Industries, Inc.		Χ		
Bodyfelt, Mount	319 SW Washington St. Portland, OR	King vs. Safeway Murguia vs. Safeway	X X			
Iannella & Mummolo	55 Court St. Boston, MA	Monteiro vs. Costco Wholesale Corp.		X		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 42 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Reid, Goodwin	1805 Monument Ave. Richmond, VA	Jackson vs. Mitchell and Unifirst		X		
Walters, Meadows, Richardson	771 Corporate Dr. Lexington, KY	Miracle vs. Garland Restaurants Smith vs. Apollo Oil	X X		X X	Х
U.S. Department of Justice	S. Dist. of CA. 800 front St. San Diego, CA	Burrell vs. USA	Х			
Sloan, Bagley, Hatcher & Perry	101 E. Whaley St. Longview, TX	Stone vs. Irvtex Sales d/b/a Westway Ford		X		
Pickens, Barnes & Abernathy	1800 First Ave. N.E. Cedar Rapids, IA	Martinez vs. HY-VEE	X			
Martin, Walker	121 N. Spring Ave. Tyler, TX	Ybarra vs. Times Square Cinema, LLC		X		
Lourie Law Firm LLC	1450 Harden St. Extension. Columbia, SC	Cross vs. Palmetto Health		X		
Meyers, Rodbell & Rosenbaum, PA	6801 Kenilworth Ave. Riverdale Park, MD	Slava Khodal-Gelman vs. Fresh Air Duct Cleaning		X		
Anderson & Assoc.	320 S. Boston Ave. Tulsa, OK	Hurley vs. DTEC Services, LLC		X		
Joyce & MacDonald	100 E. Broadway St. Butte, MT	Michael Hinch		X		
Kilpatrick, Townsend	2001 Ross Ave. Dallas, TX	Leyva vs. Whataburger Restaurants, LLC Morisch vs. S.E. Cemeteries of Texas	X X		Х	
Rhorer Law Firm	10566 Airline Highway. Baton Rouge, LA	Bostic vs. American Multi Cinema		X	Х	
Dean Malone	900 Jackson St. Dallas, TX	Bates vs. Caremark PCS Health, LLC		X		
Thomas M. Paris	55 W. Monroe St. Chicago, IL	Lynch vs. Tannis Café Harris vs. Kess Management		X X		
Lewis, Brisbois, Bisgaard & Smith	901 Main St. Dallas, TX	Broderick Riley vs. Lisanti Foodservice Chernesky vs. Bird of Paradise	X X		Х	
Murphy, Schmitt, Hathaway	117 E. Gurley St. Prescott, AZ	Powell vs. Fry's Food & Drug		X		
Frasier, Frasier & Hickman LLP	1700 South West Blvd, Tulsa, OK	Lonnie Williams vs Gateway Market		X		
Carter, Franklin, LLP	P.O. Box 27 Metter, GA	Waters vs. Wal-Mart Stores East, LP		X		
Walters, Balido & Crain	10440 N. Central Exp. Dallas, TX	Joyce vs. 24 Hour Fitness USA, Inc. Walsh vs. Britton Maint.	Х	X	х	
Stephen M. Torres	505 Marquette Ave. N.W. Albuquerque, NM	Barth vs. MCM Elegante Hotel		X		
Gungoll, Jackson, Box & Dovoll, PC	P.O. Box 1549 Enid, OK	Cook vs. Gill Express		X		
Aaron A. Herbert	8330 LBJ Freeway Dallas, TX	Owens vs. QuikTrip		X		
Susan E. Loggans & Assoc.	222 N. LaSalle St. Chicago, IL	Benson vs. Ultra Foods		X		
Terry Law Firm	2827 W. Andrew Johnson Fwy. Morristown, TN	Barton vs.Cabins For You, LLC.		Х		
Foss, Kuiken & Cochran, PC	100 E. Burlington Ave. Fairfield, IA	Leach vs. Merchant Investment, Inc.		Х		
Michael Francis	700 E. Southlake Blvd. Southlake, TX	Ritch vs. Itving City Employee Federal		Х		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 43 of 53

LAW FIRM	<u>ADDRESS</u>	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Harris Law Firm	400 E. Capitol St, Jackson, MS	Deborah Pearson vs. Western Sizzlin Restaurant		Х		
Dino M. Tangredi	106 Mount Auburn St. Watertown, MA	Febrillet vs. Showcase Cinema		X		
David M. Kennedy	320 N. Travis St. Sherman, TX	Osborne vs. Heritage Village Senior Living		X	Х	
Ross Law Group	1104 San Antonio St. Austin, TX	Clauson vs. Bank of America		X		
Butler, Vines & Babb	2701 Kingston Pike. Knoxville, TN	Barnes vs. Greg Malinak		X	Х	
Kilgore Law, PLLC	3109 Carlisle St. Dallas, TX	Sykes vs. Ryder Logistics and Home Depot		X		
Michael C. Ginart Jr.	2114 Paris Rd. Chalmette, LA	Ladner vs. Breaux Mart Supermarkets Kooke vs. Pastry Pantry Café		X X		
James A. Thompson	600 Allerton St Suite 200, Redwood City, CA	John Velasquez vs. Safeway		Χ		
Distasio Law Firm	2107 West Cass St. Suite A. Tampa, FL	Levitt vs. Publix Lianzo vs. Walgreens		X X	х	
Baum, Glass & Jayne	401 S. Boston Ave. Suite 22300 Tulsa, OK	Freeman vs. Bonefish Grill, L.L.C.		X		
John F. Eichler & Assoc.	1559 S. Hull Ave. Westchester, IL	Johnson vs. Oak Forrest Marathon		X		
Tinley, Renehan & Dost, LLP	60 N. Main St. Waterbury, CT	Aileen Zappone vs. Sodexo, Inc.		X		
Scopelitis, Garvin, Light, Hanson	10 West Market St. Indianapolis, IN	Coffman vs. Love's Travel Stops		Χ		
White & Williams, LLP	Collet vs. PR North Dartmouth, LLC		X			
Hauptman, O'Brien, Wolf & Lathrop	1005 S. 107th Ave. Suite 200 Omaha, NE	Parker vs. United States		X		
Backus, Carranza & Burden	3050 S. Durango Dr. Las Vegas, NV	Schmitt vs. Albertsons, LLC Angelo vs. Albertson's, LLC	X X			
Desouza Law, PC	3201 Cherry Ridge St. San Antonio, TX	Pulido vs. Pay & Save Canales vs Pay & Save Freeman vs. Pay & Save Sapenter vs. Valero Corner Stores Lopez vs. Walmart Villanueva vs. Walmart Texas, LLC		X X X X	X X X X	X
Conchin, Cloud, Cole	2404 Commerce Ct. Huntsville, AL	Gollop vs. Carmike Pictures		X		
Dickerson, Oxton	1200 Main St. Kansas City, MO	Weyer vs. HM Group Burbank vs. Whole Foods Clark vs. Tremco Riddle vs. Country Club Plaza Jeffrey vs. Truman Medical Center Mingle vs. St. Luke's Hospital		X X X X		X
Davenport, Evans Lawyers	206 W. 14th St. Sioux Falls, SD	McAllister vs. City of Brandon		X		
Darren J. Rousso, P.A.	9350 S. Dixie Hwy. Miami, FL	Ahumada vs. Petroleum Investments		Х		
Miller, Weisbrod, L.L.P.	11551 Forrest Central Dr. Dallas, TX	Fuentes vs. Wal-Mart		Х		
Jerry D. Andrews P.C.	3030 LBJ Freeway. Dallas, TX	Quigley vs. Kroger and Shur Star Sys.		X		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 44 of 53

LAW FIRM	<u>ADDRESS</u>	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Carr & Carr	1350 S.W. 89th St. Oklahoma City, OK	Haught vs. Marriott Thelma Humphress Eccher vs. Sante Fe Cattle Co		X X X	X	
Secrest, Hill, Butler & Secrest	7134 S. Yale. Tulsa, OK	Brothers vs. 1st Choice Quality Builders		Χ		
Christa M. Okon	117 N. Guadalupe. Santa Fe, NM	Sanchez vs. Walgreens		X		
Sinard, Timberlake and League, P.C.	125 Holmes Ave. Huntsville, AL	Catleh vs. Outback Steakhouse		Χ		
Henderson, Dantone, P.A.	241 Main St. Greenville, MS	Walker vs. Cellular South Norris vs. Golding Barge Line		Х		
Massey & Assoc.	1024 M.L. King Blvd. Chattanooga, TN	Collins vs. Wal-Mart Stores East, L.P.		Χ		
Handy & Robinson	301 Commerce St. Ft. Worth, TX	Whelehan vs. Hyatt Regency-DFW		Χ	Х	
Haslam & Gallagher	555 S. Summit Ave. Fort Worth, TX	Vogel vs. Brandywine Properties		Χ	Х	
Haddad Law Offices	510 Thornhill St. Edison, NJ	Paciocco vs. Philly Diner		Χ		
Guerra Law Firm	320 W. Pecan Ave. McAllen, TX	Guerra vs. Specialty Retailers L.P.		Χ		
Brian Branch	715 Marquette Ave. NW Albuquerque, NM	Upson vs. EM, Inc. Sadies of NM William Deming vs. Executive West		X X		
Morisey Law Firm	2208 W. Chesterfield Blvd. Springfield, MO	Peggy Cave vs. Over Easy Enterprises		Χ		
Weinberg, Wheeler, Hudgins, Gunn & Dial	6385 S. Rainbow Blvd. Las Vagas, NV	Tagney vs. PHWLV, LLC	X			
Carl V. Crow	1331 Lamar St. Houston, TX	Gwyn vs. Gaidos		Χ	Х	
Gene S. Haygood	1001 West Loop S. Houston, TX 77027	Beltran vs. Wal-Mart Stores of Texas LLC		Χ		
Davis & Brusca LLC	300 Carnegie Center Dr. Princeton, NJ	Brooks vs. Quick Chek Corp		Χ		
Greenspoon, Marder	100 W. Cypress Creek Rd. Ft. Lauderdale, FL	Josephs vs. FME Folk Pizza, LLC		Χ		
Queenan Law	731 Station Dr. Arlington, TX	Garza vs. McKnight Yeary Landscape, L.L.C. Gregory Wimmer Fernandez vs. Skyline Brian Singleton Wimmer vs. Hughes Vaughn vs. IKEA Ford vs. JSP Holdings Antonio vs. Kripalu, LLC		X X X X X X	X	
Rousso Law	9350 S. Dixie Hwy. Miami, FL	Noftz vs. Holiday CVS		Χ		
Koonz, McKenny, Johnson	2001 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W. Washington, DC	Robinson vs. Panera LLC		Χ		
Truskett Law Firm	2202 E. 49th St. Tulsa, OK	Auten vs. Creekwood Apts. Robateau vs. St. Francis Hospital		X X	Х	
Tynes Law Firm	P.O. Drawer 966 Pascagoula, MS	Ladd vs. J&R D'Iberville		Χ		
Rodney, Dickason, Sloan	201 3rd. St. NW Albuquerque, NM	Montgomery vs. Wendy's Restaurants	X			

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 45 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Thorne & Skinner	123 W. Main St. Grand Prarie, TX	Kellum vs. IHOP		X		
Taylor Walker	1206 Laskin Rd. Virginia Beach, VA	Foreman vs. Staybridge Suites	X X			
Griffith Law	801 E. Fern Ave. McAllen, TX	Tamez vs. Riverview Family Center	^			
Brown & Musslewhite	1770 St. James Place Houston, TX	Webber vs. Starr Telecommunications		Х		
E. Terrill Corley & Assoc.	1809 East 15th St. Tulsa, OK	Josephine Marez		X		
Anthony A. Liberatore	11845 W. Olympic Blvd. Los Angeles, CA	Granat vs. Fresh Enterprises		X		
Sanders Law Firm	31 N. Tejon St. Colorado Springs, CO	Ideleman		X		
Rensimer Law	9525 Katy Fwy. Houston, TX	Riggins vs. Willowbrook Mall		X		
Webster Law Firm	6200 Savoy Dr. Houston, TX	Yount vs. Mills Sisters, Inc.		X		
Younz & Valdez PC	900 Gold Ave. Albuquerque, NM	Ulbarri vs. First Assembly of God		X	Х	Х
Ross T. Anderson	412 Missouri Ave. East St.Louis, IL	Campbell vs. G&K Services		X		
Wade E. Byrd	P.O. Drawer 27977 Fayetteville, NC	Guy vs. JGL Investments		X	Х	
Steven C. Laird	1119 Pennsylvania Ave. Fort Worth, TX	Goldman vs. Six Flags Over Texas, Inc.		X	Х	Х
Dudley, Debosier	175 Government Drive. Baton Rouge, LA	Griffin vs. Tropicana Entertainment, Inc.		X		
Ball, Kirk & Holm	3324 Kimball Ave. Waterloo, IA	Laurie Campidilli v. City of Ankeny		X		
Lopez & Fantel	2292 W. Commodore Way. Seattle, WA	Haslan vs. New Leaf Mancini vs. Skagit Co. Hospital Easterday vs. University of Washington		X X X		
Domengeaux, Wright, Roy, Edwards	556 Jefferson St. Lafayette, LA	Versaggi vs. Hilton Garden Inn.		X		
U.S. DOE/EEOC	100 W. Capitol St. Jackson, MS	EEOC vs. GB Flowood		х		
Carl Rice & Assoc.	1030 Kings Hw. Shreveport, LA	O'Neal vs. Time-It-Lube, LLC.		Х		
Leblanc, Fantaci, Villio, LLC	3421 North Causeway Blvd. Metairie, LA	Derokey vs. Haza Foods of LA		Х	Х	
David D. Kervin Jr.	1978 N. Hwy 190 Covington, LA	Jones vs. Causeway Hospitality, LLC		X	Х	
Sacchetta & Baldino	308 E. Second St. Media, PA	Heichel vs. Marriott		X		
McNeely, Stephenson	2150 Intelliplex Dr. Shelbyville, IN	Dinkins vs. Sam's East, Inc.		X		
Browning Law Firm	P.O. Box 1600 Abilene, TX	Bryan vs. A 24/7 Endeavor LLC		Х		
Bill J. Nunn	105 E. Main. Stigler, OK	Remer vs. Sparks		Х		
Franklin Law Firm	400 S. Zang Blvd. Dallas, TX	George vs. Wal-Mart Stores, TX		X		
Sico, Hoelscher, Harris LLP	802 N. Carancahua. Corpus Christie, TX	Juarez vs. Wal-Mart Stores Texas Klein vs. U.S. Realty		X X		
Zurawik Law Firm	5801 E. 41st St. Tulsa, OK	Nabatian vs. Home Depot		Х		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 46 of 53

LAW FIRM	<u>ADDRESS</u>	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Moore Law Firm	100 N. Main St. Paris, TX	Varner vs. Rangers Baseball Express		Х		
Goldblatt, Simnger	8182 Maryland Ave. St. Louis, MO	Brennan vs. Menards		X		
Hutchison & Stoy	505 Pecan St. Fort Worth, TX	Landsfeld vs. LaQuinta Management Stults vs. Lonestar Prospects LTD Jeff Mosley Baker vs. LZ Parking, Macy's Retail, Galleria Mall Inv. Moseley vs. Legends at Legacy		X X X X		
Kebodeaux & Hargroder	2905 Taccoa St. Beaumont, TX	Cochran vs. CLP Splashtown, LLC.		Х		
Kadish, Twersky Law Firm	2920 Colby Ave. Everett, WA	Akre vs. Compass Group USA		X		
Bonneau Law Firm	735 Plaza Blvd. Coppell, TX	Soto vs.Denny's Inc. Martinez vs. O'Reilly Auto		X X		
Daniel C. Pappas	4615 Southwest Freeway. Houston, TX	Peggy P. Huskey		X		
Brown Law Firm	Three Riverway St. Houston, TX	Travis vs. Rock-N-Bowl Arlington		X		
Holliday, Karatinos PLLC	15316 Cortez Blvd. Brooksville, FL	Monroe vs. Speedway McCracken vs. Wal-Mart		X X		
Irpino Law Firm	2216 Magazine St. New Orleans, LA	Marine vs. Wendy's		X		
Sidney P. Rossi	17 Broad St. Freehold, NJ	Salinas vs. Saker Shoprites, Inc.		X		
Kelly Hart	201 Main St. Fort Worth, TX	Becraft vs. Cheesecake Factory Restaurants, Inc.		X		
Standley, Hamilton, L.L.P.	325 N. St. Paul St. Suite 3000 Dallas, TX	Catherine Gann		X		
Chhabra & Gibb's P.A.	120 N. Congress St. Jackson, MS	Campbell vs. Pizza Hut & YUM! Brands Ferachi vs. Gulfside Casino		X X		
Saban & Solomon P.L.	150 N. University Dr. Plantation, FL	Gorman vs. Dunkin Donuts		X		
Sean Burke Law	1401 N. Werstern Ave. Lake Forrest, IL	Jopa vs. Highland Park CVS, LLC		X		
Wadsworth, Huott, LLP	221 W. Oakland Park Blvd. Ft. Lauderdale, FL	Vogli vs. Whole Foods Market Group	Χ			
Luce Evans, PLLC	5900 S. Lake Forrest Dr. McKinney, TX	Hills vs. Spring Creek Restaurants		X		
Rodie & Connolly, PC	3380 Main St. Stratford, CT	Notarnicola vs. Darien1913, PLLC		X		
Roger Fincher Law	1263 SW Topeka Blvd. Topeka, KS	Tipton vs. Walmart, Inc.		X		
Jones Granger	10000 Memorial Dr. Houston, TX	Sparks vs. BNSF Railway Co. Abrego vs. Union Pacific Railroad Thornton vs. KC Southern Railway		X X X		
Richard S. Greenberg	140 Sylvan Ave. Englewood Cliffs, NJ	Washington vs. Mt. Holiness Memorial Park		Х	X	
Wright & Greenhill PC	900 Congress Ave. Austin, TX	Edwards vs. Saratoga Ridge, LP		Х		
Rousso, Brumel Law	9350 S. Dixie Hwy. Miami, FL	Striano vs. Walmart		X		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 47 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman	901 Main St. Dallas, TX	Voogd vs. Fargo Hotels Realty, LP Shabanov vs. Northpark Center	X X			
Schlachman, Belksky & Weiner, PA	300 E. Lombard St. Baltimore, MD	McIntosh vs.Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.		Х		
Horn, Aylward & Bandy, LLC	2600 Grand Blvd. Kansas City, MO	Hutchinson vs. Cameron Regional Medical Center		Х		
Daniel F. Clemson	1084 Logan Ave. Renton, WA	Yvonne Wilson vs. Bernie Boys, Inc.		Х		
Brian Caubarreaux	144 Tunica Dr. Marksville, LA	Foster vs. Walmart Stores		Х		
Huber, Waldron & Williams, LLP	1150 S. Cedar Crest Blvd. Allentown, PA	Kennerly vs. City of Allentown	Х			
Downtown LA Law Group	3460 Wilshire Blvd. Los Angeles, CA	Zepeda vs. Vons		X		
Mayo, Mendola & Vice	5368 State Hwy. 276. Royce City, TX	Dibrell vs. Double Diamond, Inc.	Х			
Tom Hall Law Office	2605 Airport Freeway. Ft. Worth, TX	Maxwell vs. Whataburger Restaurants		X		
Nech Law Firm	800 Bering Dr. Houston, TX	Onofre vs. 99 Cents Only Stores		X		
Lobman, Carnahan	400 Poydras St. New Orleans, LA	Buras vs. Lakeway Associates	Х			
Armstrong, Lee, Savage	2900 N. Loop West. Houston, TX	Phillips vs. Kindred Healthcare Davis vs. MZK (Jack-In-The-Box)		X X	x	
Presley & Presley	4801 Main St. Kansas City, MO	Pflughaupt vs. American Airlines		Х		
Zbinden & Curtis	817 NE Broadway. Portland, OR	Linfoot vs. Walmart, Inc.		Х		
Henderson Law	2127 Espey Court. Crofton, MD	Wright vs.ChasenBoscolo Injury Lawyers Miles vs. G&M Food Corp.		X X	Х	
Hughey & Hughey	100 N. Central Expressway. Richardson, TX	Shields vs. City of Dallas Helton vs. CMVY		X X		
Rosner Law	311 W. Landis Ave. Vineland, NJ	Miguel Higuera vs. Health Mats Co.		Х		
Wigington, Rumley Dunn & Blair	123 N. Carrizo St. Corpus Christie, TX	Kimble vs. Tom Morris Enterprises		Х		
Shamieh Law	1111 Mockingbird Lane. Dallas, TX	Dumas vs. Universal Circus, Inc.		Х	Х	
Glass & Robson	5555 Glenridge Connector. Atlanta, GA	Mathis vs. The Oaks		Х		
Samples, Ames	2727 LBJ Freeway. Dallas, TX	Daniels vs. Wow Donuts		Х		
Freese & Gross	3500 Maple Ave. Dallas, TX	Hadley vs. Marriott International		Х	Х	
Mack Injury Attorneys	1777 NE Loop 410. San Antonio, TX	Galdamez vs. Walmart		Х		
Rainwater, Holt & Sexton	P.O. Box 17250. Little Rock, AR	Bingham vs. Bruns Development		X		
Thomas J. Gehring	805 W. 10th St. Austin, TX	Bertha Garza Cunyus vs. Ben E. Keith		X X		
Baty, Holm & Numrich	4600 Madison Ave. Kansas City, MO	Schwartze vs. Dillion Real Estate	Х			
Benjamin Roberts	440 Louisiana St. Houston, TX	Maldonado vs. Homewood Suites		X		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 48 of 53

LAW FIRM	<u>ADDRESS</u>	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
McCarthy & Edwards	5225 Katy Freeway. Houston, TX	Rincon vs. Mayflower Invest.		X		
Cristopher D. Lee	1121 4th St. NW Albuquerque, NM	Khan vs. Albertsons, United Supermarkets		X		
Kurle Law LLC	150 E. Ponce deLeon Ave. Decatur, GA	Willis vs. Augusta Kennel Club		X		
David P. Garcia, P.C.	1421 Luisa St. Sante Fe, NM	David Casey		X		
Shamieh Law, PLLC	1111 W. Mockingbird Ln. Dallas, TX	Dana Williams Vargas vs. ALDI Texas		X X		
W.T. Jones Law	10 Holly Tree Ln. Spring, TX	Ford vs. Target		X		
James F. Perrin, PLLC	4716 4th St. Lubbock, TX	Gonzalez vs. Walmart Flores vs. Sprouts Farmers Market Texas, L.P. Heibel vs. Ayman Karkoutly		X X X		
Lawyer, Lawyer, Dutton	1415 Grand Ave. W. DesMoines, IA	Monthei vs. Sam's Club		Х		
Avant Law	1595 N. Central Exp. Richardson, TX	Coney vs. City of Dallas Winfield vs. QuikTrip Corp.		X X	X	
Lewis Coppedge	600 S. Tyler. Amarillo, TX	Hicks vs. Walmart		X		
Rick Hall Law	301 Gibson Rd. Lexington, SC	Shutt vs. Blake, LLC		X		
Beard Kultgen	220 S. Fourth St. Waco, TX	Scarborough vs. Bobby Cox Companies		X		
Sher Law Firm	3131 Eastside St. Houston, TX	Liana Kasem vs. Big Lot Stores, Inc.		X		
Soffeitti, Johnson, Teegen	74 E. Grand Ave. FoxLake, IL	McDaniel vs. Target		Х		
Arnold & Litkin	6009 Memorial Dr. Houston, TX	Brandon Jenkins		X		
Varellas & Varellas	249 W. Short St. Lexington, KY	Kelch-Dyson vs. Kroger Ltd.		X		
Crockett Law	9900 Westpark Dr. Houston, TX	Haynes vs. Adademy Sports		X		
Shaw Law	56 Indiana Ave. Valp[araiso, IN	Arroyo vs. Menards		X		
Lyons & Clark, Inc.	616 S. Main. Tulsa, OK	Baxter vs. Walmart Stores East		X		
Brown & Fortunato	905 S. Filmore. Amarillo, TX	Gaylor vs. Planet Finess	X			
Itken & MacLeod	6009 Memorial Dr. Houston, TX	Cyzauskas vs. Wyndham Properties		X		
Smith & Smith	300 Oak Ave. Sulpher Springs, TX	Bowling vs. KRZ Texas Enterprises		X		
Field, Manning, Stone	2112 Indiana. Lubbock, TX	Eastman vs. Cal's Convience, Inc	Х		X	
Patrick R. Kelly	6116 N. Central Expressway. Dallas, TX	Reddick vs. Fresenius Medical Care		X		
Reaves Law Firm	4466 Elvis Presley Blvd. Memphis, TN	Barksdale vs. Carlisle's Big Star		Х		Х
Baird Holm	1700 Farnam St. Omaha, NE	Godejohn vs. J.C. Penny	X			
Patten, Wornom, Hatten & Diamonstein	12350 Jefferson Ave. Newport News, VA	Wade vs. Walmart Stores East		Х		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 49 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Branum Law	9600 S. May Ave. Oklahoma City, OK	Cherry vs. C.V.'s Foodliner, Inc.	Х			
Rebein Brothers, P.A.	100 S. Ashley Dr. Tampa, FL	Shaw vs. Save-A-Lot	Х			
Goldman, Minton P.C.	3600 Clippier Mill Rd. Baltimore, MD	Sapperstein vs. 7ATKEY	Х			
Rapprort, DeGiovanni & Caslowitz	989 Waterman Ave. East Providence, RI	Rossi vs. Happy Nails & Spa		Х		
Barber Law Firm	1751 Plano Parkway. Plano, TX	Angel vs. Cinemark USA Miller vs. SPI Advisory		X X		
Johnson, DeLuca, Kurisky & Gould	1221 Lamar St. Houston, TX	Dears vs. AutoNation Ford	Х			
Randall Montgomery & Assoc.	12400 Coit Rd. Dallas, TX	Webber vs. JSN Hospitality Group, Inc.		X		
Wood Law Firm	610 S.W. 11th Ave. Amarillo, TX	Pollard vs. MFC Wolfin Village Riojas vs. Interstate Hospitality Gonzales vs. Tout'n Totem		X X X		
Cuda Law Offices	6525 North Ave. Oak Park, IL	Tonne vs. Roselle Ace Hardware Montano vs. UIC Dialysis		X X		
Brasher Law Firm	6430 Wellington Place. Beaumont, TX	Margaret Crowder Huelsman Mark Brown		X X X	Х	
Morris, Cary, Andrews	3334 Ross Clark Circle. Dothan, AL	Smith vs. Walmart		X		
James B. Johnson	1900 Church St. Nashville, TN	Campbell vs. Riverview Regional Medical		Х	X	
Christopher D. Lee	1121 4th St. NW. Albuquerque, NM	Jacobs vs. Pinnacle Entertainment, Inc.		X		
Stampone, O'Brien, Dilsheimer	500 Cottman Ave. Cheltenham, PA	Winnick-Zelts vs. Regal Cinemas		X		
Craig J. Lazarov	5350 Poplar Ave. Memphis, TN	Mitchell vs. Eggbowl, LLC		Х		
Hunegs, LeNeave & Kvas	1000 Twelve Oaks Cventer Dr. Wayzata, MN	Mahurin vs. BNSF Railway Company		X		
Paul Byrd Law	415 N. McKinley St. Little Rock, AR	Ynclan vs. Residence Inn Hall vs. Asdbury Park Apartments		X X		
Morgan & Morgan	333 West Vine St. Lexington, KY	Johnson vs. Frankfort Capital Plaza		Х	X	
Woodall, Batchelor	1725 Hughes Landing Blvd. The Woodlands, TX	TD Industries	X			
Greaney, Scudder Law Firm	203 Madison Ave. North. Kent WA	Selymes vs. QFC		X	X	
Edmiston & Colton	310 Grand Ave. Billings, MT	Stevenson vs. Elks Lodge #463		X		
Kramer Injury Law	225 W. Washington St. Chicago, IL	Pryor vs. V322Green L.L.C.		X		
Fulmer Group, P.L.L.C.	1101 N. Broadway Ave. Oklahoma City, OK	Schnoor vs. Goodwill Industries		X	X	
Reynolds & Reynolds Law Firm	2591 Dallas Pkwy. Frisco, TX	Karren Cox vs. Walmart		X		
Stark & Stark	P.O. Box 5315 Princeton, NJ	Monastersky vs. Kohl's		X		
Hall Law Firm	530 Lovett Rd. Houston, TX	Collums vs. Texas Pipe and Supply Co.		X	Χ	

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 50 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
GLP Attorneys	260 Fourth Ave. Seattle, WA	Morss vs. Emerald Downs Racing Gross vs. City of Seattle McGowen vs. MACL School District Anderson		X X X	×	
Batrice Law Firm	807 Las Cimas Pkwy. Austin, TX	Rivero vs. Pet Supplies Plus Holdings. L.L.C.		X		
Thompson, Coe	700 BN. Pearl St. Dallas, TX	Mitchell vs. Lincoln Property Co.	X			
Shaheen & Gordon	353 Central Ave. Dover, NH	Uzzle vs. Circle K Stores		X		
Watts, Guerra L.L.P.	4 Dominion Dr. San Antonio, TX	Yearwood vs. Walmart Stores Texas		X	X	
Metier Law Firm	4828 S. College Ave. Fort Collins, CO	Susan Kessler		X		
Aaron Capps Law Firm	10017 Linkwood Dr. Dallas, TX	Rosemary vs. Swiss		X		
Hardwick, Hause, Segrest	212 N. Lena St. Dothan, AL	Lucrecia S. George vs. Cheddar's Casual Café		Х		
Brown, Sims Law	1177 West Loop South. Houston, TX	Guznam vs. Dorsey Interests		Х		
Monty L. Cain	10415 Greenbriar Pl. Oklahoma City, OK	Masters vs. Taco		X		
Hart Law Firm	6630 Colleyville Blvd. Colleyville, TX	Zern vs. Laz Parking Texas Butler vs. Arby's		X X		
Zampi, Determan & Erickson	225 Broadway. San Diego, CA	Merancia vs. Vons Companies		х		
Doroshow, Pasquale, Krawitz	1202 Kirkwood Hwy. Wimington, DE	Augustus vs. Wal-Mart Stores		X		
Kopfler & Sherman	7910 Main St. Houma, LA	Lopiccolo vs. Wal-Mart Stores		х		
Williams & Towe	303 S. Main St. London, KY	Harrel vs. Cracker Barrel Restaurants		х		
Adams and Reese L.P.	701 Poydras St. New Orleans, LA	McNally vs. Whole Foods Co.	Х			
Lyons & Clark, Inc.	616 S. Main. Tulsa, OK	Mills vs. Harp's Food Stores, Inc.		х		
Wigington, Rumley, Dunn & Blair	601 Howard St. San Antonio, TX	Subirias vs. Texas Taco Canana, L.P.	Х			
Zayed Law	54 N. Ottawa St. Joliet, IL	Stimac vs. J.C. Penny Corp.		Х		
Provost, Umphrey Law	490 Park St. Beaumont, TX	Hanna vs. Streling		Х		
Bart Durham Law	404 James Robertson Pkwy. Nashville, TN	DeStefano vs. Tri Star Energy		X		
Bickham Law	3120 SW Freeway. Houston, TX	Maddock vs. Buc-ee's				
Aronberg, Goldgehn	330 N. Wabash Ave. Chicago, IL	Nsheiwat vs. Wal Mart, Inc.		X		
Fostel Law	3131 Eastside St. Houston, TX	Barsh vs. Golden Nugget		X	X	
Womack Trial Lawyers	2525 Robinhood St. Houston, TX	Alavarado vs. Home Depot		X		
Walborsky & Bradley	438 E. Government St. Pensacola, FL	Carroll vs. McCall		X		
Stevenson, Murray	24 Greenway Plaza. Houston, TX	Gafford vs. Lakeside Restaurant		X		
Karam Law	One Sugar creek Center. Sugar Land, TX	Bustamante vs. HEB, LP		Χ		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 51 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Kastl Law	4144 N. Central Expressway. Dallas, TX	Ates vs. Oucalling, Inc. Kastl vs. Collin County Texas		X X		
Fanaff, Hoagland & Gonzales	P.O. Box 258828 Oklahoma City, OK	Benning vs. Montenegro Garcia vs. Costa Messa Restaurant Burleson vs. Dairy Queen	X X X			
Dathan, Weems Law	108 Wellesley Dr. Albuquerque, NM	O'Donnell vs. City of Albuquerque		X	X	
McCoy & Sparks, PLLC	212 W. Stephen Foster Ave. Bardstown, KY	Freel vs. Wal Mart Stores East		X		
Keane Law Firm, PLC	600 4th St. Sioux City, IA	Hansen vs. Decleverles Corp. Randolph vs. Aidan, LLC		X X		
James Alexander & Assoc.	6713 Calianer Road. East Syracuse, NY	Andrews vs. Sav-A-Lot		X		
Bye, Goff, Rohde	258 Riverside Dr. River Falls, WI	Smith vs. Skogen's Foodliner, Inc.		X		
Law Office of Rudy Castillo, PC	2621 Rockgate St. San Antonio, TX	Castro vs. Macy's Ingram Park Mall Shaheen vs.COSTCO Wholesale, Inc.		X X		
Dennis L. Richard Law	14255 Blanco Rd. San Antonio, TX	Adelman vs. Gan Pati Hospitality (Econo Inn)		X		
Ramji Law Group	9186 Katy Freeway. Houston, TX	Landry-Lemelle vs. Ibrahim Investment		X		
Susan Loggins & Assoc.	180 N. LaSalle St. Chicago, IL	Dsalgado vs. Costco Wholesale Corp.		X		
Larry J. Cohen, Esq.	P.O. Box 10056. Pheonix, AZ	Barry Spiker vs. Conally		X		
Simmons & Odle, PC	8144 Walnut Hill Lane. Dallas, TX	Yosten vs. Topgolf U.S.A		X		
Farmers Ins. Exchange	P.O. Box 268994. Ohlahoma City, OK	Jackson vs. LGI Homes, Texas	Х			
Joseph K. Plumbar	1200 Rothwell St. Houston, TX	Brown vs. Walmart		X		
Preuss & Foster Law	10601 Mission Rd. Leawood, KS	Kandt vs. ALDI, Inc.		X		
Jeffrey E. Marion	6024 Main St. Williamsville, NY	Johnson vs. BOA		X		
MattLaw	304 S. Plant Ave. Tampa, FL	Colleen Dierker vs. Renaissance Hotel		X		
Wigger Law	8086 Rivers Ave. North Charlston, SC	Ervin vs. Walmart, Inc.		X		
Higdon Lawyers	4900 Fournace Pl. Bellaire, TX	Skillestad vs. Hilton				
Baker, Bezucha, Cunningham	P.O. Box 25044. Dallas, TX	Castello vs. Warwick Melrose Dallas Corp.		X		
Gagnon, Peacock & Vereeke	1349 Empire Central Dr. Dallas, TX	Emison vs. 407 BBQ, LLC		X		
Esther-Graff-Radford Law	3411 Pierce Dr. Chamblee, GA	North vs. GMF Forrest Cove		X		
Denton Law Firm	925 W. State Hwy. 152. Mustang, OK	Rose vs. Braum's		X		
Escamilla Law	4413 Spicewood Springs Rd. Austin, TX	Dominguez vs. Austin Ranch Market		X		
Boone Law Firm	100 Hartsfield Centre Pkwy. Atlanta, GA	Basek vs. Buford City Schools & American Arena League		x		
Jones, Raczkowski, Thomas	2141 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ	Lynch vs. Banner Health, Inc.		X		
Parker Law Firm	2317 Plaza Pkwy. Bedford, TX	Tidwell vs. BBVA Adams vs. QT 965 LLC		X X		

Case 4:21-cv-03173 Document 19-1 Filed on 03/03/23 in TXSD Page 52 of 53

LAW FIRM	ADDRESS	CASE NAME	DEF.	PLAINTIFF	DEPO.	TRIAL
Truitt Law Firm	149 N. New Hampshire St. Covington, LA	Nunn vs. Panache		X	X	
Crivello Carlson	7 South Dewey St. Eau Claire, WI	Robinson vs. Menominee Indian Tribe of WI	Х			
David B. Robinson	611 S. Main St. Grapevine, TX	Perez vs. La Calle Doce		X		
Joseph Law Firm	3006 Brazos St. Houston, TX	Driskell vs. Concrete Raising Corp.		X		
Ivey Law Firm	11111 Katy Fwy. Houston, TX	Davilla vs. Golden Nugget Hotel & Casino		X		
Ralph "Red Dod" Jones	4311 Oak Lawn. Dallas, TX	Sparrer vs. JoAnnes		X	X	
Spragins, Barnett & Cobb	312 E. Lafayette St. Jackson, TN	Daniel vs. Ricks BBQ		X		
West Law Firm	6908 Brisbane Ct. Sugar Land, TX	Wagner vs. Aston Designs		X		
Bailey, Javins & Carter	213 Hale St. Charleston, WV	Melinda Dangerfield		X		
Maguire Law	1600 Oak St. Myrtle Beach, SC	Whitehead vs. Lively Carolina Forrest and Unifirst		X		
McLean Law Firm	4916 Camp Bowie Blvd. Fort Worth, TX	Rodden vs.Union Pacific RR		X		
Farrell D. Hockemeier	117 W. Main St. Richmond, MO	Odom vs. Pleasant Valley Church		X		
Waitz & Downer	423 Goode St. Houma, LA	Schmolke vs. Walmart Ditucci vs. Freemin Investments		X X		
Michael E. Aulsbrook	420 E. Lamar Blvd. Arlington, TX	Blasnik vs. The Woodmont Company		X		
Orihuela & Assoc.	P.O. Box 569 League City, TX	Gross vs. Fredericksburg Inn		X		



Expert Fee Schedule

September 2021

A <u>non-refundable</u> retainer fee of \$5,000 shall be paid in advance of any rendered service. Formal retention is established upon receipt of the retainer fee and a signed and completed Retention Agreement. All casework shall be billed against the retainer fee at the following rates:

Service Hourly Rate

Case Time \$500*

All work including but not limited to: site inspections, investigation, research, report preparation, case review, and lawyer teleconferences which are billed at 30-minute intervals.

Travel Time \$350*

Time spent traveling to and from lawyer conferences, site inspections, depositions, trial, or any other out-of-office functions.

Expert Testimony by Deposition

Deposition time is billed in three-hour intervals at a rate of \$2,500 per interval **\$2500/3-Hr.** and is to be paid in advance of the scheduled deposition.

<u>Late Cancellation Fee</u> (10 days or less) - In the event that a scheduled trial or deposition is cancelled and or re-scheduled a cancellation fee of \$750 will be charged.

Expert Testimony at Trial

A non-refundable \$5,000 trial retainer fee is required and to be paid in advance of trial. All travel time, trial preparation time, and testimony will be billed against the trial retainer fee. Travel expenses will be billed separately.

*Expenses will not be billed against the retainer fee but will be billed separately.

All billings are due upon receipt. Airfare will be purchased as a refundable coach rate.

<u>Please Remit Payments To</u>: <u>Please Forward Overnight Deliveries To</u>:

Traction Experts, Inc. P.O. Box 92628 Southlake, TX 76092 Traction Experts, Inc. 139 Jellico Circle Southlake, TX 76092

Fed Tax I.D. # 75-2271988